arXiv:1302.6351v1 [math.NT] 26 Feb 2013

# Uniformizing The Moduli Stacks of Global $\mathfrak{G}$-Shtukas

Esmail Arasteh Rad and Urs Hartl

February 27, 2013

**Abstract**

This is the second in a sequence of two articles, in which we propose to view the moduli stacks of global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtukas as function field analogs for Shimura varieties. Here $\mathfrak{G}$ is a parahoric Bruhat-Tits group scheme over a smooth projective curve, and global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtukas are generalizations of Drinfeld shtukas and analogs of abelian varieties with additional structure. We prove that the moduli stacks of global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtukas are algebraic Deligne-Mumford stacks. They generalize various moduli spaces used by different authors to prove instances of the Langlands program over function fields. In the first article we explained the relation between global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtukas and local $\mathbb{P}$-shtukas, which are the function field analogs of $p$-divisible groups, and we proved the existence of Rapoport-Zink spaces for local $\mathbb{P}$-shtukas. In the present article we use these spaces to (partly) uniformize the moduli stacks of global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtukas.

*Mathematics Subject Classification (2000)*: 11G09, (11G18, 14L05)

## Contents

**1 Introduction** **1**
1.1 Notation and Conventions . . . . . . . . 3
**2 $\mathfrak{G}$-Bundles** **4**
**3 Moduli Stacks of Global $\mathfrak{G}$-Shtukas** **6**
**4 Loop Groups and Local $\mathbb{P}$-Shtukas** **13**
**5 Unbounded Uniformization** **15**
**6 Galois Representations Associated with Global $\mathfrak{G}$-Shtukas** **17**
**7 The Uniformization Theorem** **20**
**References** **25**

## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field with $q$ elements, let $C$ be a smooth projective geometrically irreducible curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and let $\mathfrak{G}$ be a parahoric Bruhat-Tits group scheme over $C$; see Definition 2.1. A *global* $\mathfrak{G}$-*shtuka* $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ over an $\mathbb{F}_q$-scheme $S$ is a tuple $(\mathcal{G}, s_1, \ldots, s_n, \tau)$ consisting of a $\mathfrak{G}$-torsor $\mathcal{G}$ over $C_S := C \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} S$, an $n$-tuple of (characteristic) sections $(s_1, \ldots, s_n) \in C^n(S)$ and a Frobenius connection $\tau$ defined outside the graphs $\Gamma_{s_i}$ of the sections $s_i$'s, that is, an isomorphism $\tau\colon \sigma^*\mathcal{G}|_{C_S\smallsetminus\cup_i\Gamma_{s_i}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}|_{C_S\smallsetminus\cup_i\Gamma_{s_i}}$ where $\sigma^* = (\,\mathrm{id}_C\times\mathrm{Frob}_{q,S})^*$.

In Theorem 3.14 of this article we show that the moduli stack $\nabla_n^{\underline{\omega}}\mathscr{H}_D^1(C,\mathfrak{G})$ of global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtukas, after imposing suitable boundedness conditions (by $\underline{\omega}$) and $D$-level structures, is an algebraic Deligne-Mumford stack separated and of finite type over $C^n$. Dropping the boundedness condition by $\underline{\omega}$ we obtain $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}_D^1(C,\mathfrak{G}) = \varinjlim \nabla_n^{\underline{\omega}}\mathscr{H}_D^1(C,\mathfrak{G})$ as an ind-algebraic stack. Spelling out the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for function fields, together with the tannakian philosophy, one sees that $\nabla_n^{\underline{\omega}}\mathscr{H}_D^1(C,\mathfrak{G})$ may play the same role that Shimura varieties play for number fields. More specifically one can hope that the Langlands correspondence for function fields is realized on its cohomology. Note that in particular this moduli stack generalizes the space of $F$-sheaves $FSh_{D,r}$ which was considered by Drinfeld [Dri87] and Lafforgue [Laf02] in their proof of the Langlands correspondence for $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{GL}_2$ (resp. $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{GL}_r$), and which in turn was generalized by Varshavsky's [Var04] moduli stacks $FBun$. It likewise generalizes the moduli stacks $Cht_{\underline{\lambda}}$ of Ngô and Ngô Dac [NN08], $\mathcal{E}\ell\ell_{C,\mathscr{D},I}$ of Laumon, Rapoport and Stuhler [LRS93], and $\mathcal{A}b\text{-}\mathcal{S}h_H^{r,d}$ of the second author [Har05]; see Remark 3.16. On the other hand this analogy can be viewed as an attempt to build a bridge between the geometric Langlands program and the arithmetic Langlands program, where the role of global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtukas is played by abelian varieties (together with additional structures), respectively $D$-modules.

In this article our approach to study the moduli stack of global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtukas is to relate it to certain moduli spaces for local objects, called local $\mathbb{P}$-shtukas, where $\mathbb{P}$ is a parahoric group scheme. More precisely, let $A_\nu \cong \mathbb{F}_\nu[\![\zeta]\!]$ be the completion of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{C,\nu}$ at a closed point $\nu \in C$, let $Q_\nu$ be its fraction field, and let $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_\nu := \mathfrak{G}\times_C \operatorname{Spec} A_\nu$ and $P_\nu = \mathfrak{G}\times_C \operatorname{Spec} Q_\nu$. A *local* $\mathbb{P}_\nu$*-shtuka* over a scheme $S \in \mathcal{N}ilp_{A_\nu}$ is a pair $\underline{\mathcal{L}} = (\mathcal{L}_+,\hat\tau)$ consisting of an $L^+\mathbb{P}_\nu$-torsor $\mathcal{L}_+$ on $S$ and an isomorphism of the $LP_\nu$-torsors $\hat\tau\colon \hat\sigma^*\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}$. Here $LP_\nu$ (resp. $L^+\mathbb{P}_\nu$) denotes the group of loops (resp. positive loops) of $\mathbb{P}_\nu$ (see Section 4), $\mathcal{L}$ denotes the $LP_\nu$-torsor associated with $\mathcal{L}_+$, and $\hat\sigma^*\mathcal{L}$ denotes the pullback of $\mathcal{L}$ under the absolute $\mathbb{F}_\nu$-Frobenius endomorphism $\mathrm{Frob}_{(\#\mathbb{F}_\nu),S}\colon S \to S$. Moreover, $\mathcal{N}ilp_{A_\nu}$ denotes the category of $A_\nu$-schemes on which the uniformizer $\zeta$ of $A_\nu$ is locally nilpotent. Local $\mathbb{P}_\nu$-shtukas can be viewed as function field analogs of $p$-divisible groups; see also [HV11, HV12].

In [AH13, Theorem 4.4] we proved that the Rapoport-Zink functor

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_0}\colon \mathcal{N}ilp_{k[\![\zeta]\!]} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}ets \\ S & \longmapsto & \big\{\text{Isomorphism classes of } (\underline{\mathcal{L}},\bar\delta)\colon \text{ where } \underline{\mathcal{L}} \text{ is a local } \mathbb{P}_\nu\text{-shtuka} \\ & & \qquad\qquad \text{over } S \text{ and } \bar\delta\colon \underline{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar S} \to \underline{\mathbb{L}}_{0,\bar S} \text{ is a quasi-isogeny over } \bar S\big\}, \end{array}$$

for a fixed local $\mathbb{P}_\nu$-shtuka $\underline{\mathbb{L}}_0$ over a field $k$, and $\bar S = \mathrm{V}(\zeta) \subset S$, is representable by an ind-scheme, ind-quasi-projective over $\operatorname{Spf} k[\![\zeta]\!]$; see also Theorem 4.4. To obtain a formal scheme locally formally of finite type, as in the analog for $p$-divisible groups, one has to impose a *bound* $\hat Z$ *on the Hodge polygon*, that is on the relative position of $\hat\sigma^*\mathcal{L}_+$ and $\mathcal{L}_+$ under $\hat\tau$; see Definition 4.5. By [AH13, Theorem 4.13] the bounded Rapoport-Zink functor $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_0}^{\hat Z}$ is representable by a formal scheme locally formally of finite type over $\operatorname{Spf} k[\![\zeta]\!]$; see also Theorem 4.7.

Consider the formal stack $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}$, which is obtained by taking the formal completion of the stack $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})$ at a fixed $n$-tuple of pairwise different characteristic places $\underline{\nu} = (\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_n)$ of $C$. This means we let $A_{\underline{\nu}}$ be the completion of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{C^n,\underline{\nu}}$, and we consider global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtukas only over schemes $S$ whose characteristic morphism $S \to C^n$ factors through $\mathcal{N}ilp_{A_{\underline{\nu}}}$. Recall that with an abelian variety over a scheme in $\mathcal{N}ilp_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ one can associate its $p$-divisible group. In the analogous situation for global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtukas we associated in [AH13, Definition 5.4] a tuple

$(\widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu_i}(\underline{\mathcal{G}}))_i$ of local $\mathbb{P}_{\nu_i}$-shtukas $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu_i}(\underline{\mathcal{G}})$ with a global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtuka $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ in $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}(S)$. The relation between global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtukas and local $\mathbb{P}$-shtukas was thoroughly explained in [AH13, Chapter 5].

As was pointed out in [HV11] the true analogs of $p$-divisible groups are bounded local $G$-shtukas. Nevertheless in Chapter 5 we prove that the product $\prod_i \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu_i}(\underline{\mathcal{G}})}$ can be regarded as a uniformization space for $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}$ already in the unbounded situation; see Theorem 5.3. The uniformization morphism $\prod_i \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu_i}(\underline{\mathcal{G}})} \to \nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}$ however is only ind-proper and formally étale. To obtain a true (partial) uniformization we fix an $n$-tuple $\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}} = (\hat{Z}_i)_i$ of bounds and consider global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtukas $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ whose associated local $\mathbb{P}_{\nu_i}$-shtuka $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu_i}(\underline{\mathcal{G}})$ is bounded by $\hat{Z}_i$. We also introduce the notion of a *$H$-level structure* for a compact open subgroup $H \subset \mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})$ on a global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtuka $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ using the tannakian theory of Tate modules in Chapter 6. Here $\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}}$ is the ring of adeles of $C$ outside $\underline{\nu}$. We denote by $\nabla_n^H\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}$ the stack of global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtukas with $H$-level structure fibered in groupoids over $\mathcal{N}ilp_{A_{\underline{\nu}}}$, and by $\nabla_n^{H,\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}$ the closed ind-substack of $\nabla_n^H\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}$ consisting of global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtukas bounded by $\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}}$. Both stacks are ind-algebraic stacks over $\operatorname{Spf} A_{\underline{\nu}}$ ind-separated and locally of ind-finite type; see Corollary 6.7 and Remark 7.2. To describe our main uniformization result let $\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0$ be a fixed global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtuka in $\nabla_n^{H,\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}(k)$ for an algebraically closed field $k \in \mathcal{N}ilp_{A_{\underline{\nu}}}$ and let $(\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i)_i := \underline{\widehat{\Gamma}}(\mathcal{G}_0)$ be the associated $n$-tuple of local $\mathbb{P}_{\nu_i}$-shtukas. Let $I(Q)$ denote the group $\operatorname{QIsog}_k(\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0)$ of quasi-isogenies of $\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0$; see Definition 3.5. In Theorem 7.4 we construct the uniformization morphism

$$\Theta\colon I(Q)\backslash \prod_i \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i}^{\hat{Z}_i} \times \mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})/H \longrightarrow \nabla_n^{H,\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}} \,\widehat{\times}_{\mathbb{F}_{\underline{\nu}}} \operatorname{Spec} k$$

and in addition we prove that it induces an isomorphism after passing to the completion along its image. Note that the reduced subscheme of the Rapoport-Zink space for local $\mathbb{P}$-shtukas is an affine Deligne-Lusztig variety; see [AH13, Theorem 4.13]. Thus as a consequence of the uniformization theorem one can relate the rational points (inside the Newton stratum given by the $n$-tuple $\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i$) of the moduli stack of global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtukas to the rational points of certain affine Deligne-Lusztig varieties. Let us add that similar uniformization results were previously obtained by Drinfeld [Dri76] who uniformized the moduli spaces of Drinfeld modules, by the second author [Har05] who uniformized the moduli stacks of abelian $\tau$-sheaves, and by Hausberger [Hau05] who uniformized the moduli spaces of $\mathscr{D}$-elliptic sheaves. The analogous situation for Shimura varieties was developed by Čerednik [Čer76], Drinfeld [Dri76], Rapoport and Zink [RZ96], Boutot and Zink [BZ95], Varshavsky [Var98], and Kudla and Rapoport [KR13].

## 1.1 Notation and Conventions

Throughout this article we denote by

| | |
|---|---|
| $\mathbb{F}_q$ | a finite field with $q$ elements, |
| $C$ | a smooth projective geometrically irreducible curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$, |
| $Q := \mathbb{F}_q(C)$ | the function field of $C$, |
| $\nu$ | a closed point of $C$, also called a *place* of $C$, |
| $\mathbb{F}_\nu$ | the residue field at the place $\nu$ on $C$, |
| $A_\nu$ | the completion of the stalk $\mathcal{O}_{C,\nu}$ at $\nu$, |
| $Q_\nu := \operatorname{Frac}(A_\nu)$ | its fraction field, |
| $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ | a positive integer, |

| | |
|---|---|
| $\underline{\nu} := (\nu_i)_{i=1\dots n}$ | an $n$-tuple of closed points of $C$, |
| $\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}} := \prod_{\nu \notin \underline{\nu}} A_\nu$ | the ring of integral adeles of $C$ outside $\underline{\nu}$, |
| $\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}} := \mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C} Q$ | the ring of adeles of $C$ outside $\underline{\nu}$, |
| $\mathbb{F}$ | a finite field containing $\mathbb{F}_q$, |
| $\mathbb{D}_R := \operatorname{Spec} R[\![z]\!]$ | the spectrum of the ring of formal power series in $z$ with coefficients in an $\mathbb{F}$-algebra $R$, |

When $R = \mathbb{F}$ we drop the subscript $R$ from the notation of $\mathbb{D}_R$.

For a formal scheme $\widehat{S}$ we denote by $\mathcal{N}ilp_{\widehat{S}}$ the category of schemes over $\widehat{S}$ on which an ideal of definition of $\widehat{S}$ is locally nilpotent. We equip $\mathcal{N}ilp_{\widehat{S}}$ with the étale topology. We also denote by

| | |
|---|---|
| $A_{\underline{\nu}}$ | the completion of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{C^n,\underline{\nu}}$ of $C^n$ at the closed point $\underline{\nu} = (\nu_i)$, |
| $\mathcal{N}ilp_{A_{\underline{\nu}}} := \mathcal{N}ilp_{\operatorname{Spf} A_{\underline{\nu}}}$ | the category of schemes over $C^n$ on which the ideal defining the closed point $\underline{\nu} \in C^n$ is locally nilpotent, |
| $\mathcal{N}ilp_{\mathbb{F}[\![\zeta]\!]}$ | the category of $\mathbb{D}$-schemes $S$ for which the image of $z$ in $\mathcal{O}_S$ is locally nilpotent. We denote the image of $z$ by $\zeta$ since we need to distinguish it from $z \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{D}}$. |
| $\mathfrak{G}$ | a parahoric Bruhat-Tits group scheme over $C$; see Definition 2.1, |
| $\mathbb{P}_\nu := \mathfrak{G} \times_C \operatorname{Spec} A_\nu$ | the base change of $\mathfrak{G}$ to $\operatorname{Spec} A_\nu$, |
| $P_\nu := \mathfrak{G} \times_C \operatorname{Spec} Q_\nu$ | the generic fiber of $\mathbb{P}_\nu$ over $\operatorname{Spec} Q_\nu$, |
| $\mathbb{P}$ | a smooth affine group scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{D} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}[\![z]\!]$, |
| $P := \mathbb{P} \times_{\mathbb{D}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}(\!(z)\!)$ | the generic fiber of $\mathbb{P}$ over $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}(\!(z)\!)$. |

Let $S$ be an $\mathbb{F}_q$-scheme. We denote by $\sigma_S \colon S \to S$ its $\mathbb{F}_q$-Frobenius endomorphism which acts as the identity on the points of $S$ and as the $q$-power map on the structure sheaf. Likewise we let $\hat{\sigma}_S \colon S \to S$ be the $\mathbb{F}$-Frobenius endomorphism of an $\mathbb{F}$-scheme $S$. We set

$C_S := C \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}_q} S,$ and
$\sigma := \mathrm{id}_C \times \sigma_S.$

Let $H$ be a sheaf of groups (for the étale topology) on a scheme $X$. In this article a (*right*) *$H$-torsor* (also called an *$H$-bundle*) on $X$ is a sheaf $\mathcal{G}$ for the étale topology on $X$ together with a (right) action of the sheaf $H$ such that $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to $H$ on a étale covering of $X$. Here $H$ is viewed as an $H$-torsor by right multiplication.

## 2 $\mathfrak{G}$-Bundles

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field with $q$ elements, let $C$ be a smooth projective geometrically irreducible curve over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and let $\mathfrak{G}$ be a parahoric group scheme over $C$ as in the following

**Definition 2.1.** A smooth affine group scheme $\mathfrak{G}$ over $C$ is called a *parahoric* (*Bruhat-Tits*) *group scheme* if

(a) all geometric fibers of $\mathfrak{G}$ are connected and the generic fiber of $\mathfrak{G}$ is reductive over $\mathbb{F}_q(C)$,

(b) for any ramification point $\nu$ of $\mathfrak{G}$ (i.e. those points $\nu$ of $C$, for which the fiber above $\nu$ is not reductive) the group scheme $\mathbb{P}_\nu := \mathfrak{G}_\nu$ is a parahoric group scheme over $A_\nu$, as defined by Bruhat and Tits [BT72, Définition 5.2.6]; see also [HR03].

**Proposition 2.2.** *(a) The parahoric group scheme* $\mathfrak{G}$ *has a faithful representation* $\rho\colon \mathfrak{G} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V}_0)$ *for a vector bundle* $\mathcal{V}_0$ *on* $C$ *such that the quotient* $\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V}_0)/\mathfrak{G}$ *is quasi-affine.*

*(b) There is a representation* $\rho\colon \mathfrak{G} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V}_0)$ *as in (a) together with an isomorphism* $\alpha\colon \wedge^{\mathrm{top}} \mathcal{V}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_C$ *such that* $\rho$ *factors through* $\mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V}_0) := \ker\big(\det\colon \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V}_0) \to \mathrm{GL}(\wedge^{\mathrm{top}}\mathcal{V}_0)\big)$ *and the quotient* $\mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V}_0)/\mathfrak{G}$ *is quasi-affine.*

*(c) If all fibers of* $\mathfrak{G}$ *over* $C$ *are reductive then the quotients in (a) and (b) are affine.*

*Proof.* (a) For the existence of a faithful representation $\rho\colon \mathfrak{G} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V}_0)$ with quasi-affine quotient we refer to [Hei10, Example(1), page 504].

(b) To pass to SL, we take a representation $\mathfrak{G} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V}_0)$ as in (a) and we consider the representation $(\rho, \wedge^{\mathrm{top}}\rho^{\vee})\colon \mathfrak{G} \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V})$ where $\mathcal{V} := \mathcal{V}_0 \oplus \wedge^{\mathrm{top}}\mathcal{V}_0^{\vee}$. This representation factors through $\mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V})$. It remains to show that $\mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V})/\mathfrak{G}$ is quasi-affine. We use that the property of being (quasi-)affine is *fpqc*-local on the base by [EGA, Proposition 2.7.1]. Then $\mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V})/\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V}_0)$ is affine by Haboush's theorem [Hab78, Theorem 3.3]. Also the morphism $\mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V})/\mathfrak{G} \to \mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V})/\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V}_0)$ is quasi-affine because after base change to $\mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V})$ it equals the projection $(\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V}_0)/\mathfrak{G}) \times_C \mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V}) \to \mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V})$. This proves (b).

(c) By [EGA, II, Proposition 5.1.2] the question whether a quasi-affine morphism is affine can be tested fiber-wise. So (c) follows from Haboush's theorem [Hab78, Theorem 3.3] again. ☐

**Definition 2.3.** We let $\mathscr{H}^1(C, \mathfrak{G})$ denote the category fibered in groupoids over the category of $\mathbb{F}_q$-schemes, such that the objects over $S$, $\mathscr{H}^1(C, \mathfrak{G})(S)$, are $\mathfrak{G}$-torsors over $C_S$ and morphisms are isomorphisms of $\mathfrak{G}$-torsors.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let* $\mathfrak{G}$ *be a parahoric group scheme over the curve* $C$*. Then the stack* $\mathscr{H}^1(C, \mathfrak{G})$ *is a smooth Artin-stack locally of finite type over* $\mathbb{F}_q$*. It admits a covering by connected open substacks of finite type over* $\mathbb{F}_q$*.*

To prove the theorem we follow the argument of Behrend [Beh91] and thereby generalize his Propositions 4.4.4 and 4.4.1 and 4.4.5.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let* $X$ *be a projective scheme over a field* $k$*. Let* $\mathcal{V}$ *be a vector bundle over* $X$ *and let* $\rho\colon \mathfrak{G} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V})$ *be a closed subgroup with quasi-affine quotient* $\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V})/\mathfrak{G}$*. Then the natural morphism of* $k$*-stacks*

$$\rho_*\colon \mathscr{H}^1(X, \mathfrak{G}) \to \mathscr{H}^1(X, \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V}))$$

*is representable, quasi-affine and of finite presentation.*

*Proof.* Set $X_S := X \times_k S$. Let $p_S\colon X_S \to S$ be the projection map and view it as a morphism of big étale sites $\mathrm{\acute{E}t}(X_S) \to \mathrm{\acute{E}t}(S)$. For any scheme $Y$ over $X_S$ let $p_{S*}(Y)$ denote the sheaf which sends an $S$-scheme $T$ to $\mathrm{Hom}_{X_S}(X_T, Y)$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a $\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V})$-bundle in $\mathscr{H}^1(X, \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V}))(S)$. By [Beh91, Proposition 4.2.3] we have the following 2-cartesian diagram of stacks

$$\begin{tikzcd}
p_{S*}(\mathcal{G}/\mathfrak{G}_S) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & S \arrow[d, "\mathcal{G}"'] \\
\mathscr{H}^1(X, \mathfrak{G}) \arrow[r] & \mathscr{H}^1(X, \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V})).
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.1}$$

(See the proof of Proposition 3.10 for more details on this diagram.) We must show that $p_{S*}(\mathcal{G}/\mathfrak{G}_S)$ is a quasi-affine $S$-scheme of finite presentation. Note that $\mathcal{G}/\mathfrak{G}_S$ is a quasi-affine and quasi-compact $X_S$-scheme and that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}/\mathfrak{G}_S}$ is a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{X_S}$-algebras of finite presentation, because this may be tested *fpqc*-locally on $X_S$ by [EGA, IV$_2$, Corollary 2.6.4 and Proposition 2.7.1], and over an étale covering $U \to X_S$ of $X_S$ we can assume that $\mathcal{G}$ is trivial and $\mathcal{G}/\mathfrak{G}_S \cong (\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V})/\mathfrak{G}) \times_C U$. Thus the theorem follows from the next lemma. □

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let $X$ be a projective scheme over a field $k$. Let $p$ denote the structure morphism $p\colon X \to \operatorname{Spec} k$. Let $S$ be a $k$-scheme and let $f\colon \widetilde{X} \to X_S := X \times_k S$ be a quasi-affine, quasi-compact $X_S$-scheme such that $Y := \underline{\operatorname{Spec}} f_*\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}$ is of finite presenation over $X_S$. Then $p_{S*}\widetilde{X}$ is a quasi-affine $S$-scheme of finite presentation.*

*Proof.* By [EGA, II, 5.1.2] the canonical morphism $i\colon \widetilde{X} \to Y$ is a quasi-compact open immersion. Since $Y$ is of finite presentation over $X_S$ and $f$ is quasi-compact we may reduce to the case that $S$ is of finite type over $k$ and in particular noetherian. By [Beh91, Proposition 4.4.1] the sheaf $\mathcal{A} := p_{S*}Y$ is representable by an affine $S$-scheme of finite presentation. Consider the universal morphism $X_{\mathcal{A}} \to Y$ corresponding to $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$. Let $Z \hookrightarrow X_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the complement of $X_{\mathcal{A}} \times_Y \widetilde{X}$ in $X_{\mathcal{A}}$. By properness of $X$, $Z$ maps to a closed subscheme of $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ denote the complement of $p_{\mathcal{A}}(Z)$ in $\mathcal{A}$. It is a quasi-affine $S$-scheme locally of finite presentation, and quasi-compact because we assumed that $S$ and hence $\mathcal{A}$ are noetherian.

We claim that $\mathcal{U}$ represents $p_*\widetilde{X}$. To see this first observe that the open immersion $X_{\mathcal{U}} \hookrightarrow X_{\mathcal{A}} \times_Y \widetilde{X}$ gives a morphism $X_{\mathcal{U}} \to \widetilde{X}$ which induces a $\mathcal{U}$-point in $(p_{S*}\widetilde{X})(\mathcal{U})$. Hence it is enough to check that for any scheme $T$ we have the inclusion $(p_{S*}\widetilde{X})(T) \subseteq \mathcal{U}(T)$. Any point of $(p_{S*}\widetilde{X})(T)$ is a morphism $X_T \to \widetilde{X}$. Composing with $i\colon \widetilde{X} \to Y$ induces a $T$ valued point $\alpha$ of $\mathcal{A}$. We have to show that $\alpha\colon T \to \mathcal{A}$ factors through the open subscheme $\mathcal{U}$. One can easily check this on the level of topological spaces. Namely, if $T \times_{\mathcal{A}} p_{\mathcal{A}}(Z)$ is non-empty then so is $X_T \times_{X_{\mathcal{A}}} Z$, which is a contradiction, since $Z$ is defined as the complement of $X_{\mathcal{A}} \times_Y \widetilde{X}$ in $X_{\mathcal{A}}$. This shows that $\mathcal{U}$ represents $p_{S*}\widetilde{X}$. □

*Proof of Theorem 2.4.* By Proposition 2.2(a) there is a closed embedding $\mathfrak{G} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V})$ with quasi-affine quotient $\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V})/\mathfrak{G}$. Set $r := \operatorname{rank} \mathcal{V}$. The stack $\mathscr{H}^1(C, \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V}))$ is isomorphic to the stack $\mathit{Vect}_C^r$ whose $S$-valued points parametrize locally free sheaves of rank $r$ on $C_S$. By [LM00, Théorème 4.6.2.1] the stack $\mathit{Vect}_C^r$ is an Artin-stack locally of finite type over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and by [Wan11, Theorem 1.0.1] it admits a covering by connected open substacks of finite type over $\mathbb{F}_q$. (Note that even though [LM00, Théorème 4.6.2.1] states that $\mathit{Vect}_C^r$ is of finite type, all that is true and proved is that it is locally of finite type.) Therefore the same holds for $\mathscr{H}^1(C, \mathfrak{G})$ by Theorem 2.5. Finally the smoothness of $\mathscr{H}^1(C, \mathfrak{G})$ follows from the vanishing of the second cohomology of coherent sheaves on a curve; compare [Beh91, Corollary 4.5.2] or [Wan11, §6]. □

## 3 Moduli Stacks of Global $\mathfrak{G}$-Shtukas

**Definition 3.1.** Let $D$ be a proper closed subscheme of $C$. A *$D$-level structure* on a $\mathfrak{G}$-bundle $\mathcal{G}$ on $C_S$ is a trivialization $\psi\colon \mathcal{G} \times_{C_S} D_S \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{G} \times_C D_S$ along $D_S := D \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} S$. Let $\mathscr{H}_D^1(C, \mathfrak{G})$ denote the stack classifying $\mathfrak{G}$-bundles with $D$-level structure, that is, $\mathscr{H}_D^1(C, \mathfrak{G})$ is the category fibred in groupoids over the category of $\mathbb{F}_q$-schemes, which assigns to an $\mathbb{F}_q$-scheme $S$ the category whose objects are

$$Ob\big(\mathscr{H}_D^1(C, \mathfrak{G})(S)\big) := \Big\{(\mathcal{G}, \psi)\colon \mathcal{G} \in \mathscr{H}^1(C, \mathfrak{G})(S),\ \psi\colon \mathcal{G} \times_{C_S} D_S \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{G} \times_C D_S\Big\},$$

and whose morphisms are those isomorphisms of $\mathfrak{G}$-bundles that preserve the $D$-level structure.

**Definition 3.2.** For each natural number $n$, let $Hecke_{\mathfrak{G},D,n}$ be the stack fibered in groupoids over the category of $\mathbb{F}_q$-schemes, whose $S$ valued points are tuples $\big((\mathcal{G}, \psi), (\mathcal{G}', \psi'), s_1, \ldots, s_n, \tau\big)$ where

$(\mathcal{G},\psi)$ and $(\mathcal{G}',\psi')$ are in $\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G})(S)$,

$s_1,\ldots,s_n\in(C\smallsetminus D)(S)$ are sections, and

$\tau\colon \mathcal{G}_{|_{C_S\smallsetminus\Gamma_{s_1}\cup\ldots\cup\Gamma_{s_n}}}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{G}'_{|_{C_S\smallsetminus\Gamma_{s_1}\cup\ldots\cup\Gamma_{s_n}}}$ is an isomorphism preserving the $D$-level structures, that is, $\psi'\circ\tau=\psi$. Here $\Gamma_{s_i}\subset C_S$ denotes the graph of the section $s_i$.

If $D=\emptyset$ we will drop it from the notation. Note that forgetting the isomorphism $\tau$ defines a morphism

$$Hecke_{\mathfrak{G},D,n}\to\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G})\times\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G})\times(C\smallsetminus D)^n. \tag{3.2}$$

**Definition 3.3.** Assume that we have two morphisms $f,g\colon X\to Y$ of schemes or stacks. We denote by $\mathrm{equi}(f,g\colon X\rightrightarrows Y)$ the pull back of the diagonal under the morphism $(f,g)\colon X\to Y\times_{\mathbb{Z}}Y$, that is $\mathrm{equi}(f,g\colon X\rightrightarrows Y):=X\times_{(f,g),Y\times Y,\Delta}Y$ where $\Delta=\Delta_{Y/\mathbb{Z}}\colon Y\to Y\times_{\mathbb{Z}}Y$ is the diagonal morphism.

**Definition 3.4.** We define the *moduli stack* $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G})$ *of global* $\mathfrak{G}$*-shtukas with* $D$*-level structure* to be the preimage in $Hecke_{\mathfrak{G},D,n}$ of the graph of the Frobenius morphism on $\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})$. In other words

$$\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G}):=\mathrm{equi}(\sigma_{\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G})}\circ pr_1,pr_2\colon Hecke_{\mathfrak{G},D,n}\rightrightarrows\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G})),$$

where $pr_i$ are the projections to the first, respectively second factor in (3.2). Each object $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ in $Ob(\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G})(S))$ is called a *global* $\mathfrak{G}$*-shtuka with* $D$*-level structure over* $S$ and the corresponding sections $(s_1,\ldots,s_n)$ are called the *characteristic sections* (or simply *characteristics*) of $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$, or of $S$.

More explicitly a global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtuka $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ with $D$-level structure over an $\mathbb{F}_q$-scheme $S$ is a tuple $(\mathcal{G},\psi,s_1,\ldots,s_n,\tau)$ consisting of a $\mathfrak{G}$-bundle $\mathcal{G}$ over $C_S$, a trivialization $\psi\colon\mathcal{G}\times_{C_S}D_S\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathfrak{G}\times_C D_S$, an $n$-tuple of (characteristic) sections $(s_1,\ldots,s_n)\in(C\smallsetminus D)^n(S)$, and an isomorphism $\tau\colon\sigma^*\mathcal{G}|_{C_S\smallsetminus\cup_i\Gamma_{s_i}}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{G}|_{C_S\smallsetminus\cup_i\Gamma_{s_i}}$ with $\psi\circ\tau=\sigma^*(\psi)$. If $D=\emptyset$ we drop $\psi$ from $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ and write $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})$ for the *stack of global* $\mathfrak{G}$*-shtukas*. Sometimes we will fix the sections $(s_1,\ldots,s_n)\in C^n(S)$ and simply call $\underline{\mathcal{G}}=(\mathcal{G},\tau)$ a global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtuka over $S$.

Global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtukas can be viewed as function field analogs of abelian varieties. This inspires the following notions of quasi-isogenies.

**Definition 3.5.** Consider a scheme $S$ together with characteristic morphisms $s_i\colon S\to C$ for $i=1,\ldots,n$ and let $\underline{\mathcal{G}}=(\mathcal{G},\tau)$ and $\underline{\mathcal{G}}'=(\mathcal{G}',\tau')$ be two global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtukas over $S$ with the same characteristics $s_i$. A *quasi-isogeny* from $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ to $\underline{\mathcal{G}}'$ is an isomorphism $f\colon\mathcal{G}|_{C_S\smallsetminus D_S}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{G}'|_{C_S\smallsetminus D_S}$ satisfying $\tau'\sigma^*(f)=f\tau$, where $D$ is some effective divisor on $C$. We denote the *group of quasi-isogenies* from $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ to itself by $\mathrm{QIsog}_S(\underline{\mathcal{G}})$.

In order to obtain algebraic substacks of finite type of $Hecke_{\mathfrak{G},n}$ and $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})$ one has to bound the relative position of $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}'$ under the isomorphism $\tau$. For the rest of this section we fix a faithful representation $\rho\colon\mathfrak{G}\to\mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V}_0)\subset\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V}_0)$, for some vector bundle $\mathcal{V}_0$ of rank $r$, with quasi-affine quotient $\mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V}_0)/\mathfrak{G}$ as in Proposition 2.2(b).

**Remark 3.6.** We consider the induced morphisms of stacks

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G}) \arrow[r, "\rho_*"] & \mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V}_0)) \arrow[r] & \mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V}_0)) \arrow[r, "\sim"] & Vect^r_C \\
\mathcal{G} \arrow[r, mapsto] & \rho_*\mathcal{G} \arrow[rr, mapsto] & & \mathcal{V}(\rho_*\mathcal{G}).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here $Vect^r_C$ is the stack whose $S$-valued points parameterize rank $r$ vector bundles on $C_S$. Since $\rho$ factors through $\mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V}_0)$ there is a canonical isomorphism $\alpha_{\mathcal{G}}\colon\wedge^r\mathcal{V}(\rho_*\mathcal{G})\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$. Conversely, every pair $(\mathcal{V},\alpha)$ where $\mathcal{V}$ is a rank $r$ vector bundle on $C_S$ and $\alpha\colon\wedge^r\mathcal{V}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$ is an isomorphism is induced from an $\mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V}_0)$-torsor over $C_S$.

**Definition 3.7.** Keep the notations of Remark 3.6. We define the *relative affine Grassmannian* $\mathcal{G}r_{\mathfrak{G},n,r}$ over $\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})\times_{\mathbb{F}_q}C^n$ which parametrizes tuples $(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{V}',\alpha',s_1,\ldots,s_n,\varphi)$, where

$$(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{V}',s_1,\ldots,s_n)\in\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})\times_{\mathbb{F}_q}\mathit{Vect}_C^r\times_{\mathbb{F}_q}C^n,$$

$\alpha'\colon\wedge^r\mathcal{V}'\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$ is an isomorphism and $\varphi\colon\mathcal{V}(\rho_*\mathcal{G})|_{C_S\smallsetminus\cup\Gamma_{s_i}}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{V}'|_{C_S\smallsetminus\cup\Gamma_{s_i}}$ is an isomorphism between the vector bundle $\mathcal{V}(\rho_*\mathcal{G})$ associated with $\rho_*\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{V}'$ outside the graphs $\cup_i\Gamma_{s_i}$ such that $\alpha_{\mathcal{G}}=\alpha'\circ\wedge^r\varphi$ on $C_S\smallsetminus\cup\Gamma_{s_i}$. In particular $\wedge^r\varphi=(\alpha')^{-1}\circ\alpha_{\mathcal{G}}$ extends to an isomorphism $\wedge^r\varphi\colon\wedge^r\mathcal{V}(\rho_*\mathcal{G})\xrightarrow{\sim}\wedge^r\mathcal{V}'$ on all of $C_S$. If $\mathcal{S}l'$ is the $\mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V}_0)$-torsor associated with $(\mathcal{V}',\alpha')$ then $\varphi$ induces an isomorphism $\varphi\colon\rho_*\mathcal{G}|_{C_S\smallsetminus\cup\Gamma_{s_i}}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{S}l'|_{C_S\smallsetminus\cup\Gamma_{s_i}}$.

Note that the morphism $\rho_*$ yields a morphism $\mathit{Hecke}_{\mathfrak{G},n}\to\mathcal{G}r_{\mathfrak{G},n,r}$, sending $\big(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{G}',(s_i)_i,\tau\big)$ to the tuple $\big(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{V}(\rho_*\mathcal{G}'),\alpha_{\mathcal{G}'},(s_i)_i,\mathcal{V}(\rho_*\tau)\big)$ where $\alpha_{\mathcal{G}'}\colon\wedge^r\mathcal{V}(\rho_*\mathcal{G}')\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$ is the canonical isomorphism induced from the fact that $\rho$ factors through $\mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V}_0)$.

Let $\underline{\omega}:=(\omega_i)_{i=1\ldots n}$ be an $n$-tuple of coweights of $\mathrm{SL}_r$ given as $\omega_i\colon x\mapsto\mathrm{diag}(x^{\omega_{i,1}},\ldots,x^{\omega_{i,r}})$ for integers $\omega_{i,1}\ge\ldots\ge\omega_{i,r}$ with $\omega_{i,1}+\ldots+\omega_{i,r}=0$. (The inequality means that all $\omega_i$ are dominant with respect to the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices.) Let $\mathcal{G}r^{\underline{\omega}}_{\mathfrak{G},n,r}$ denote the substack of $\mathcal{G}r_{\mathfrak{G},n,r}$ defined by the condition that the universal isomorphism $\varphi_{univ}$ is *bounded* by $\underline{\omega}$, that is

$$\textstyle\bigwedge^j_{C_S}\varphi_{univ}\big(\mathcal{V}(\rho_*\mathcal{G}_{univ})\big)\;\subset\;\Big(\bigwedge^j_{C_S}\mathcal{V}'\Big)\big(\sum_i(-\omega_{i,r-j+1}-\ldots-\omega_{i,r})\cdot\Gamma_{s_i}\big)\qquad(3.3)$$
$$\text{for all }1\le j\le r\text{ with equality for }j=r$$

where the notation $\big(\wedge^j_{C_S}\mathcal{V}'\big)\big(\sum_i(-\omega_{i,r-j+1}-\ldots-\omega_{i,r})\cdot\Gamma_{s_i}\big)$ means that we allow poles of order $-\omega_{i,r-j+1}-\ldots-\omega_{i,r}$ along the Cartier divisor $\Gamma_{s_i}$ on $C_S$; compare [HV11, Lemma 4.3]. Note that the condition for $j=r$ is equivalent to the requirement that $\wedge^r\varphi$ is an isomorphism on all of $C_S$, which in turn is equivalent to the condition that $\alpha_{\mathcal{G}}=\alpha'\circ\wedge^r\varphi$ for an isomorphism $\alpha'\colon\wedge^r\mathcal{V}'\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$. By Cramer's rule (e.g. [Bou70, III.8.6, Formulas (21) and (22)]) condition (3.3) is equivalent to

$$\textstyle\bigwedge^j_{C_S}\varphi^{-1}_{univ}(\mathcal{V}')\;\subset\;\Big(\bigwedge^j_{C_S}\mathcal{V}(\rho_*\mathcal{G}_{univ})\Big)\big(\sum_i(\omega_{i,1}+\ldots+\omega_{i,j})\cdot\Gamma_{s_i}\big)\qquad(3.4)$$
$$\text{for all }1\le j\le r\text{ with equality for }j=r$$

Again the condition for $j=r$ is equivalent to the condition that $\alpha_{\mathcal{G}}=\alpha'\circ\wedge^r\varphi$ for an isomorphism $\alpha'\colon\wedge^r\mathcal{V}'\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$.

**Proposition 3.8.** *The relative affine Grassmannian $\mathcal{G}r^{\underline{\omega}}_{\mathfrak{G},n,r}$ is relatively representable by a projective morphism over $\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})\times_{\mathbb{F}_q}C^n$.*

*Proof.* We look at the fiber of $\mathcal{G}r^{\underline{\omega}}_{\mathfrak{G},n,r}\to\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})\times_{\mathbb{F}_q}C^n$ over an $S$-valued point $(\mathcal{G},s_1,\ldots,s_n)$ in $(\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})\times_{\mathbb{F}_q}C^n)(S)$. Then (3.3) and (3.4) imply that $\mathcal{V}(\rho_*\mathcal{G})(\sum_i\omega_{i,1}\cdot\Gamma_{s_i})/\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{V}')$ must be a quotient of the sheaf

$$\mathcal{F}\;:=\;\mathcal{V}(\rho_*\mathcal{G})(\textstyle\sum_i\omega_{i,1}\cdot\Gamma_{s_i})\big/\mathcal{V}(\rho_*\mathcal{G})(\sum_i\omega_{i,r}\cdot\Gamma_{s_i})$$

on the effective relative Cartier divisor $X:=\sum_i(\omega_{i,1}-\omega_{i,r})\cdot\Gamma_{s_i}$. Note that $X$ is a finite flat $S$-scheme. From the case $j=r$ in (3.3) and (3.4) we also obtain the isomorphism $\alpha':=\alpha_{\mathcal{G}}\circ(\wedge^r\varphi)^{-1}\colon\wedge^r\mathcal{V}'\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{O}_{C_S}$. Therefore $\mathcal{G}r^{\underline{\omega}}_{\mathfrak{G},n,r}\times_{(\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})\times_{\mathbb{F}_q}C^n)}S$ is represented by a closed subscheme of Grothendieck's Quot-scheme $\mathrm{Quot}^{\Phi}_{\mathcal{F}/X/S}$, see [FGA, n°221, Théorème 3.1] or [AK80, Theorem 2.6], for constant Hilbert polynomial $\Phi=r\cdot\sum_i\omega_{i,1}$; compare [HH13, p. 5]. □

**Definition 3.9.** The stack $Hecke^{\omega}_{\mathfrak{G},n}$ is defined by the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Hecke^{\omega}_{\mathfrak{G},n} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{G}r^{\omega}_{\mathfrak{G},n,r} \arrow[d] \\
Hecke_{\mathfrak{G},n} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{G}r_{\mathfrak{G},n,r}\,.
\end{tikzcd}$$

**Proposition 3.10.** *Let* $\rho\colon \mathfrak{G} \to \mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V}_0)$ *be a faithful representation as above with quasi-affine (resp. affine) quotient* $\mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V}_0)/\mathfrak{G}$*. Then the morphism* $Hecke_{\mathfrak{G},n} \to \mathcal{G}r_{\mathfrak{G},n,r}$ *is represented by a locally closed and quasi-compact (resp. a closed) immersion. In particular, the stack* $Hecke^{\omega}_{\mathfrak{G},n}$ *is relatively representable over* $\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G}) \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} C^n$ *by a quasi-compact quasi-projective morphism, and even a projective morphism if there is a representation* $\rho$ *with affine quotient* $\mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V}_0)/\mathfrak{G}$*.*

*Proof.* Note that for any $\mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V}_0)$-torsor $\mathcal{S}l$ over a $C$-scheme $U$ there is an isomorphism of stacks

$$[C/\mathfrak{G}] \times_{\rho_*,[C/\mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V}_0)],\mathcal{S}l} U \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}l/\mathfrak{G}$$

where $[C/\mathfrak{G}]$ denotes the stack classifying $\mathfrak{G}$-torsors over $C$-schemes. This isomorphism was already used in diagram (2.1) for $\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V})$ instead of $\mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V}_0)$. It is given as follows. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a $\mathfrak{G}$-torsor over a $U$-scheme $T$ and let $\widetilde{\varphi}\colon \rho_*\mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}l_T$ be an isomorphism of $\mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V}_0)$-torsors over $T$. We choose an étale covering $T' \to T$ and a trivialization $\alpha\colon \mathfrak{G}_{T'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}_{T'}$. We consider the induced trivialization $\rho_*\alpha\colon \mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V}_0)_{T'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \rho_*\mathcal{G}_{T'}$ and let $1_{T'} \in \mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V}_0)(T')$ be the identity section. Then the section $\overline{\widetilde{\varphi}\circ\rho_*\alpha(1_{T'})} \in (\mathcal{S}l/\mathfrak{G})(T')$ descends to a section in $(\mathcal{S}l/\mathfrak{G})(T)$ which is independent of our choices.

We now consider a morphism $S \to \mathcal{G}r_{\mathfrak{G},n,r}$ given by a tuple $(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{V}',\alpha',s_1,\dots,s_n,\varphi)$ over a scheme $S$. We let $\mathcal{S}l'$ be the $\mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V}_0)$-torsor associated with the pair $(\mathcal{V}',\alpha')$ by Remark 3.6, and we let $\widetilde{\varphi}\colon \rho_*\mathcal{G}|_{C_S\smallsetminus\cup\Gamma_{s_i}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}l'|_{C_S\smallsetminus\cup\Gamma_{s_i}}$ be the isomorphism induced by $\varphi$. By the above, the pair $(\mathcal{G},\widetilde{\varphi})$ defines a section $x \in (\mathcal{S}l'/\mathfrak{G})(C_S \smallsetminus \cup\Gamma_{s_i})$. Since $\mathcal{S}l'/\mathfrak{G}$ is (quasi-)affine over $C_S$, there exists by Lemma 3.11 below a (locally) closed (and quasi-compact) immersion $S' \hookrightarrow S$ such that $x$ extends over $S'$ to a section $x' \in (\mathcal{S}l'/\mathfrak{G})(C_{S'})$. Again the section $x'$ corresponds to a $\mathfrak{G}$-torsor $\mathcal{G}'$ over $C_{S'}$ and an isomorphism $\psi\colon \rho_*\mathcal{G}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}l'$ of $\mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V}_0)$-torsors over $C_{S'}$. The equality of sections $x|_{C_{S'}\smallsetminus\cup\Gamma_{s_i}} = x'|_{C_{S'}\smallsetminus\cup\Gamma_{s_i}}$ induces an isomorphism $\tau\colon \mathcal{G}|_{C_{S'}\smallsetminus\cup\Gamma_{s_i}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}'|_{C_{S'}\smallsetminus\cup\Gamma_{s_i}}$ with $\rho_*\tau = \psi^{-1}\widetilde{\varphi}$. Therefore $S'$ represents the fiber product $Hecke_{\mathfrak{G},n} \times_{\mathcal{G}r_{\mathfrak{G},n,r}} S$. This implies that the morphism $Hecke_{\mathfrak{G},n} \to \mathcal{G}r_{\mathfrak{G},n,r}$ is represented by a (locally) closed (quasi-compact) immersion. Finally the (quasi-)projectivity of $Hecke^{\omega}_{\mathfrak{G},n}$ follows from Proposition 3.8. □

**Lemma 3.11.** *Let* $Y$ *be a (quasi-)affine scheme over* $C_S$*. Let* $D$ *be an effective relative Cartier divisor on* $C_S$ *over* $S$ *and set* $U := C_S \smallsetminus D$*. Let* $x\colon U \to Y$ *be a section. Then the question whether* $x$ *extends to a section* $x'\colon C_S \to Y$ *is representable by a (locally) closed (quasi-compact) immersion into* $S$*.*

*Proof.* We first assume that $Y$ is affine over $C_S$. The question is local on $S$. So we can assume that $S$ is affine and that there is an affine open subset $\operatorname{Spec} A \subset C_S$ containing $D$. Then $Y \times_{C_S} \operatorname{Spec} A$ is affine, say of the form $\operatorname{Spec} B$. Let $I \subset A$ be the invertible ideal defining $D$. Then the section $x$ corresponds to an $A$-morphism $x^*\colon B \to \Gamma(\operatorname{Spec} A \smallsetminus D, \mathcal{O}_{C_S})$. For each element $b \in B$ there is a positive integer $m_b$ such that $J_b := x^*(b)\cdot I^{m_b} \subset A$. The section $x$ extends to a section $x'\colon C_S \to Y$ if and only if $x^*(B) \subset A \subset \Gamma(\operatorname{Spec} A \smallsetminus D, \mathcal{O}_{C_S})$. Since $I$ is an invertible ideal this is the case if and only if $J_b \subset I^{m_b}$ for all $b \in B$, that is, if and only if the image of $J_b$ in $A/I^{m_b}$ is zero for all $b \in B$. Now $A/I^{m_b}$ is the structure sheaf of the relative Cartier divisor $m_b \cdot D$. Since $m_b \cdot D$ is finite flat over $S$ the $\mathcal{O}_S$-module $A/I^{m_b}$ is finite locally free. Therefore the vanishing of the image of $J_b$ in

$A/I^{m_b}$ is represented by a closed subscheme of $S$ by [EGA, $0_{\text{new}}$, Proposition 5.5.1]. This proves the lemma when $Y$ is affine over $C_S$.

If $Y$ is quasi-affine let $\pi\colon Y \to C_S$ be the structure morphism and set $\overline{Y} := \underline{\operatorname{Spec}}_{C_S} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y$. Then $Y \hookrightarrow \overline{Y}$ is a quasi-compact open subscheme by [EGA, II, Proposition 5.1.2(b)] and hence the complement $\overline{Y} \smallsetminus Y$ is a finitely presented closed subscheme of $\overline{Y}$. By the above there is a closed subscheme $S'$ of $S$ over which the section $x \in Y(U)$ extends to a section $x' \in \overline{Y}(C_{S'})$. The preimage $Z := (x')^{-1}(\overline{Y} \smallsetminus Y)$ is a finitely presented closed subscheme of $C_{S'}$ and its image in $S'$ is finitely presented and closed. Let $S''$ be the complement of this image. Then $S'' \hookrightarrow S$ is a quasi-compact open immersion, and $S''$ represents the question whether $x$ extends to a section $x'' \in Y(C_S)$. □

**Definition 3.12.** We denote by $\nabla_n^{\omega}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})$ the pull back of $\mathit{Hecke}^{\omega}_{\mathfrak{G},n}$ under the morphism $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G}) \to \mathit{Hecke}_{\mathfrak{G},n}$. We also denote by $\nabla_n^{\omega}\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G})$ the pull back of $\nabla_n^{\omega}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})$ under the (forgetful) morphism $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G}) \to \nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})$.

We want to prove that the stack $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G})$ is an ind-algebraic stack. We do not intend to give a general treatment of ind-algebraic stacks here. We just make the following tentative

**Definition 3.13.** Let $T$ be a scheme.

(a) By an *inductive system of algebraic stacks over* $T$ we mean an inductive system $(\mathcal{C}_a, i_{ab})$ indexed by a countable directed set $I$, such that each $\mathcal{C}_a$ is an algebraic Artin-stack over $T$ and $i_{ab}\colon \mathcal{C}_a \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_b$ is a closed immersion of stacks for all $a \le b$ in $I$.

(b) A stack $\mathcal{C}$ over $T$ is an *ind-algebraic $T$-stack* if there is an inductive system of algebraic stacks $(\mathcal{C}_a, i_{ab})$ over $T$ together with morphisms $j_a : \mathcal{C}_a \to \mathcal{C}$ satisfying $j_b \circ i_{ab} = j_a$ for all $a \le b$, such that for all quasi-compact $T$-schemes $S$ and all objects $c \in \mathcal{C}(S)$ there is an $a \in I$, an object $c_a \in \mathcal{C}_a(S)$ and an isomorphism $j_a(c_a) \cong c$ in $\mathcal{C}$. In this case we say that $\mathcal{C}$ *is the inductive limit of* $(\mathcal{C}_a, i_{ab})$ and we write $\mathcal{C} = \varinjlim \mathcal{C}_a$.

(c) If in (b) all $\mathcal{C}_a$ are locally of finite type (resp. separated) over $T$ we say that $\mathcal{C}$ is *locally of ind-finite type* (resp. *separated*) *over* $T$.

**Theorem 3.14.** *Let $D$ be a proper closed subscheme of $C$. The stack $\nabla_n^{\omega}\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G})$ is a Deligne-Mumford stack locally of finite type and separated over $(C \smallsetminus D)^n$. It is relatively representable over $\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G}) \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} (C \smallsetminus D)^n$ by a separated morphism of finite type. In particular $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G}) = \varinjlim \nabla_n^{\omega}\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G})$ is an ind-algebraic stack over $(C \smallsetminus D)^n$ which is ind-separated and locally of ind-finite type. The forgetful morphism $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G}) \to \nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G}) \times_{C^n} (C \smallsetminus D)^n$ is surjective and a torsor under the finite group $\mathfrak{G}(D)$.*

*Proof.* We first show that $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G}) \to \nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G}) \times_{C^n} (C \smallsetminus D)^n$ is a torsor under the finite group $\mathfrak{G}(D)$, which we consider as an étale group scheme $\underline{\mathfrak{G}(D)}$ over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{F}_q$. Indeed, the product $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G}) \times_{\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})} \nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G})$ classifies data $(\mathcal{G}, \tau, s_1, \ldots, s_n, \psi_1, \psi_2)$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$-schemes $S$ where $\psi_i$ are two $D$-level structures on the global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtuka $(\mathcal{G}, \tau, s_1, \ldots, s_n)$. This means that $\psi_i\colon \mathcal{G} \times_{C_S} D_S \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{G} \times_C D_S$ is an isomorphism of torsors with $\psi_i \circ \tau = \sigma^*(\psi_i)$. In particular, $\psi_1\psi_2^{-1}$ is an automorphism of $\mathfrak{G} \times_C D_S$ given by left translation with an element $g \in \mathfrak{G}(D_S)$. Since $\psi_1\psi_2^{-1} = \sigma^*(\psi_1\psi_2^{-1})$ we have $g = \sigma^*(g) \in \underline{\mathfrak{G}(D)}(S)$. This shows that $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G}) \times_{\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})} \nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G}) \cong \underline{\mathfrak{G}(D)} \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G})$.

To show that the forgetful morphism is surjective we may assume that $S$ is the spectrum of an algebraically closed field $k$ and that we have a global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtuka $\underline{\mathcal{G}} \in \nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})(k)$ whose characteristic morphism $S \to C^n$ factors through $(C \smallsetminus D)^n$. The latter implies that $\tau$ is an isomorphism $\tau\colon \sigma^*(\mathcal{G}\times_{C_S} D_S) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{G}\times_{C_S} D_S$. Since $D_S$ has no non-trivial étale coverings and $\mathcal{G}$ is smooth there exists a trivialization $\widetilde{\psi}\colon \mathcal{G}\times_{C_S} D_S \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{G}\times_C D_S$. We want to modify it by multiplying with an element of $g\in\mathfrak{G}(D_S)$ to $\psi := g\widetilde{\psi}$ such that $\psi\tau = \sigma^*(\psi)$, that is $g^{-1}\sigma^*(g) = \widetilde{\psi}\tau\sigma^*(\widetilde{\psi})^{-1} \in \mathfrak{G}(D_S)$. By applying Lang's Theorem [Lan56, Corollary on p. 557] to the Weil restriction $\operatorname{Res}_{D/\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathfrak{G}\times_C D)$ such an element $g$ exists. This proves the surjectivity. It follows that $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G}) \to \nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})\times_{C^n}(C\smallsetminus D)^n$ is relatively representable by a finite étale surjective morphism.

To prove that the stack $\nabla_n^{\omega}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})$ is algebraic we observe that the morphism $\nabla_n^{\omega}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G}) \to Hecke^{\omega}_{\mathfrak{G},n}$ is representable, separated and of finite type, because it arises by base change from the diagonal morphism $\Delta_{\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})\,/\mathbb{F}_q}\colon \mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G}) \to \mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})\times_{\mathbb{F}_q}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})$. The latter is representable, separated and of finite type by [LM00, Lemma 4.2], because $\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})$ is algebraic (Theorem 2.4). Since $Hecke^{\omega}_{\mathfrak{G},n}$ is quasi-projective and quasi-compact over $\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})\times_{\mathbb{F}_q} C^n$ by Proposition 3.10, it follows that $\nabla_n^{\omega}\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G})$ is relatively representable over $\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})\times_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C\smallsetminus D)^n$ by a separated morphism of finite type. In particular it is an Artin stack locally of finite type over $(C\smallsetminus D)^n$.

We prove that $\nabla_n^{\omega}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})$ is Deligne-Mumford and separated over $C^n$. This means that the diagonal morphism $\Delta\colon \nabla_n^{\omega}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G}) \longrightarrow \nabla_n^{\omega}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})\times_{C^n}\nabla_n^{\omega}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})$ is unramified and proper; see [LM00, Théorème 8.1 and Définition 7.6]. By the algebraicity of $\nabla_n^{\omega}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})$ over $C^n$ the diagonal $\Delta$ is already relatively representable by algebraic spaces, separated and of finite type; see [LM00, Lemme 4.2]. Consider an $S$-valued point of $\nabla_n^{\omega}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})\times_{C^n}\nabla_n^{\omega}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})$ given by two global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtukas $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\underline{\mathcal{G}}'$ over a $C^n$-scheme $S$. The base change of $\Delta$ to $S$ is represented by the algebraic space $\underline{\operatorname{Isom}}_S(\underline{\mathcal{G}},\underline{\mathcal{G}}')$. Being unramified means that whenever $S$ is affine and $\bar{S}\subset S$ is a closed subscheme defined by a nilpotent ideal, then every isomorphism between $\underline{\mathcal{G}}_{\bar{S}}$ and $\underline{\mathcal{G}}'_{\bar{S}}$ over $\bar{S}$ comes from at most one isomorphism between $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\underline{\mathcal{G}}'$ over $S$. Since an isomorphism is a quasi-isogeny this follows from the rigidity of quasi-isogenies [AH13, Proposition 5.9].

To prove that $\nabla_n^{\omega}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})$ is separated over $C^n$ we use Proposition 2.2 to choose a representation $\rho:\mathfrak{G}\hookrightarrow \operatorname{GL}(\mathcal{V})$ as in Theorem 2.5 and consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\nabla_n^{\omega}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \nabla_n^{\omega}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\operatorname{GL}(\mathcal{V})) \arrow[d] \\
\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})\times_{\mathbb{F}_q} C^n \arrow[r] & \mathscr{H}^1(C,\operatorname{GL}(\mathcal{V}))\times_{\mathbb{F}_q} C^n\,.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The vertical morphisms and the lower horizontal morphism are separated by what we have proved above and by Theorem 2.5. By [LM00, Remarque 7.8.1(3)] also the upper horizontal morphism is separated, and it suffices to prove the statement for $\mathfrak{G}=\operatorname{GL}(\mathcal{V})$. We use the equivalence $\mathscr{H}^1(C,\operatorname{GL}(\mathcal{V}))\xrightarrow{\sim} Vect^r_C$ from Remark 3.6 under which $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ corresponds to a pair $(M,\tau)$ consisting of a locally free sheaf $M$ of rank $r$ on $C_S$ and an isomorphism $\tau\colon\sigma^*M\xrightarrow{\sim} M$ on $C_S\smallsetminus\Gamma_{s_1}\cup\ldots\cup\Gamma_{s_n}$. Similarly $\underline{\mathcal{G}}'$ corresponds to the pair $(M',\tau')$. We now use the valuative criterion for properness [LM00, Théorème 7.10] to show that $\underline{\operatorname{Isom}}_S\big((M,\tau),(M'\tau')\big)$ is proper over $S$. We may assume that $S$ is the spectrum of a discrete valuation ring $R$ with fraction field $K$, uniformizer $\pi$, and residue field $k$. Let $f\colon M_K\xrightarrow{\sim} M'_K$ with $\tau'\circ\sigma^*f = f\circ\tau$ be an isomorphism over $C_K$. We view $f$ and its inverse as sections over $C_K$ of the locally free sheaves $M'\otimes M^{\vee}$ and $M\otimes(M')^{\vee}$. We have to show that both extend to $C_R$. The local ring $\mathcal{O}_{C_R,\eta}$ at the generic point $\eta$ of the special fiber $C_k$ is a discrete valuation ring with uniformizer $\pi$. It suffices to extend $f$ to $\mathcal{O}_{C_R,\eta}$, because then $f$ is defined on an open set whose complement has codimension 2, and therefore $f$ extends to all of $C_R$ by [Eis95, Discussion after Corollary 11.4]. To extend $f$ to $\mathcal{O}_{C_R,\eta}$ we choose bases of $M_\eta$ and $M'_\eta$ and write $f=\pi^m f_0$ with an $r\times r$ matrix $f_0\in(\mathcal{O}_{C_R,\eta})^{r\times r}\smallsetminus(\pi\mathcal{O}_{C_R,\eta})^{r\times r}$. Now the equation $\pi^m f_0\tau = \tau'\sigma^*f = \pi^{qm}\tau'\sigma^*f_0$ shows that $m$ must be zero, because $\tau$ and $\tau'$ belong to $\operatorname{GL}_r(\mathcal{O}_{C_R,\eta})$.

To prove that $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G})$ is an ind-algebraic stack, we consider the countable set of $n$-tuples $\underline{\omega}=(\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_n)$ of coweights of $\mathrm{SL}_r$ which are dominant with respect to the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices. We make this set into a directed set by equipping it with the partial order $\underline{\omega}\preceq\underline{\omega}'$ whenever $\omega_i\preceq\omega'_i$ for all $i$ in the Bruhat order, that is $\omega'_i-\omega_i$ is a positive linear combination of positive coroots. When $\underline{\omega}$ runs through this directed set, the $\nabla_n^{\underline{\omega}}\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G})$ form an inductive system of algebraic stacks which are separated and locally of finite type over $(C\smallsetminus D)^n$. Indeed, we have to show that $\nabla_n^{\underline{\omega}}\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G})\to\nabla_n^{\underline{\omega}'}\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G})$ is a closed immersion for $\underline{\omega}\preceq\underline{\omega}'$. This follows from the fact that condition (3.3) for $\underline{\omega}$ on $\mathrm{Gr}^{\underline{\omega}'}_{\mathfrak{G},n,r}$ is equivalent to the vanishing of the image of $\bigwedge^j_{C_S}\varphi_{univ}\big(\mathcal{V}(\rho_*\mathcal{G}_{univ})\big)$ inside the locally free sheaf

$$\Big(\bigwedge^j_{C_S}\mathcal{V}'\Big)\big(\textstyle\sum_i(-\omega'_{i,r-j+1}-\ldots-\omega'_{i,r})\cdot\Gamma_{s_i}\big)\Big/\Big(\bigwedge^j_{C_S}\mathcal{V}'\Big)\big(\textstyle\sum_i(-\omega_{i,r-j+1}-\ldots-\omega_{i,r})\cdot\Gamma_{s_i}\big)$$

on $\mathrm{Gr}^{\underline{\omega}'}_{\mathfrak{G},n,r}$. Therefore condition (3.3) defines a closed immersion $\mathrm{Gr}^{\underline{\omega}}_{\mathfrak{G},n,r}\hookrightarrow\mathrm{Gr}^{\underline{\omega}'}_{\mathfrak{G},n,r}$ by [EGA, $0_{\text{new}}$, Proposition 5.5.1].

We prove that $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G})=\varinjlim\nabla_n^{\underline{\omega}}\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G})$. For this purpose let $(\mathcal{G},s_1,\ldots,s_n,\tau)$ be a global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtuka in $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G})(S)$ for a quasi-compact scheme $S$ and let $(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{V}',\alpha',s_1,\ldots,s_n,\varphi)\in\mathcal{G}r_{\mathfrak{G},n,r}(S)$ be the induced $S$-valued point of $\mathcal{G}r_{\mathfrak{G},n,r}$. Since $S$ is quasi-compact there are integers $N_i\geq 0$ with $\varphi(\mathcal{V}(\rho_*\mathcal{G}))\subset\mathcal{V}'(\sum_i N_i\cdot\Gamma_{s_i})$. We set $\omega_{i,j}:=-N_i$ for $i=1,\ldots,n$ and $j=2,\ldots,r$ and $\omega_{i,1}:=(r-1)N_i$. Then the tuple $(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{V}',\alpha',s_1,\ldots,s_n,\varphi)$ satisfies condition (3.3). It follows that $(\mathcal{G},s_1,\ldots,s_n,\tau)\in\nabla_n^{\underline{\omega}}\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G})(S)$ and the theorem is proved. □

In the course of the proof we have established the following corollary which we formulate for later reference.

**Corollary 3.15.** *Let $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\underline{\mathcal{G}}'$ be global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtukas of the same characteristic over an $\mathbb{F}_q$-scheme $S$. Then the sheaf of sets on $S_{fpqc}$ given by $\underline{\mathrm{Isom}}_S(\underline{\mathcal{G}},\underline{\mathcal{G}}')\colon T\mapsto\mathrm{Isom}_T(\underline{\mathcal{G}}_T,\underline{\mathcal{G}}'_T)$ is representable by a scheme which is finite and unramified over $S$. In particuclar the group of automorphisms $\mathrm{Aut}_S(\underline{\mathcal{G}})$ of $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ is finite.*

*Proof.* We have seen in the proof of Theorem 3.14 that $\underline{\mathrm{Isom}}_S(\underline{\mathcal{G}},\underline{\mathcal{G}}')$ is an algebraic space which is unramified and proper over $S$. In particular it is finite and affine over $S$ and hence a scheme; compare [LM00, Lemma 4.2]. □

**Remark 3.16.** Our definition and moduli spaces for global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtukas generalize Varshavsky's [Var04] moduli stacks $FBun$, which in turn are a generalization of the moduli spaces of $F$-sheaves $FSh_{D,r}$ considered by Drinfeld [Dri87] and Lafforgue [Laf02] in their proof of the Langlands correspondence for $\mathfrak{G}=\mathrm{GL}_2$ (resp. $\mathfrak{G}=\mathrm{GL}_r$). Namely Varshavsky considers the situation where $G$ is a split reductive group over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $\mathfrak{G}=G\times_{\mathbb{F}_q}C$ is constant. Let $T$ be a maximal split torus in $G$ and let $\Lambda$ be a finite generating system of the monoid of dominant weights $X^*(T)_{\mathrm{dom}}$, dominant with respect to the choice of a Borel subgroup $B\subset G$ containing $T$. Let $\overline{B}$ be the opposite Borel. For all $\lambda\in\Lambda$ let $V_\lambda:=\big(\mathrm{Ind}^G_{\overline{B}}(-\lambda)_{\mathrm{dom}}\big)^\vee$ be the Weyl module of highest weight $\lambda$ and set $V:=\bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda}V_\lambda$. The representation $\rho\colon G\to\mathrm{GL}(V)\hookrightarrow\mathrm{SL}(V\oplus\wedge^{\mathrm{top}}V^\vee)$ is faithful by [HV11, Proposition 3.14] and we take it as the representation fixed before Remark 3.6. Varshavsky considers an $n$-tuple of dominant coweights $\underline{\omega}=(\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_n)$ of $G$ and the induced coweights $\rho\underline{\omega}=(\rho\circ\omega_1,\ldots,\rho\circ\omega_n)$ of $\mathrm{SL}(V\oplus\wedge^{\mathrm{top}}V^\vee)$. Then his stack $FBun_{D,n,\underline{\omega}}$, see [Var04, Proposition 2.16] coincides with our stack $\nabla_n^{\rho\underline{\omega}}\mathscr{H}^1_D(C,\mathfrak{G})$. The stack $FSh_{D,r}$ of Drinfeld and Lafforgue is obtained by taking $G=\mathrm{GL}_r$, $n=2$ and $\omega_1=(1,0,\ldots,0)$ and $\omega_2=(0,\ldots,0,-1)$, and using the correspondence between $\mathrm{GL}_r$-torsors and locally free sheaves of rank $r$; see Remark 3.6.

Varshavsky's moduli stacks were further generalized by Ngô and Ngô Dac [NN08] who droped the assumption that $\mathfrak{G}$ is constant and allowed $\mathfrak{G}$ to be a smooth group scheme over $C$ all of whose fibers are reductive. Other variants considered before include the moduli spaces $\mathcal{E}\ell\ell_{C,\mathscr{D},I}$ of $\mathscr{D}$-elliptic sheaves of Laumon, Rapoport and Stuhler [LRS93] who take $\mathfrak{G}$ as the group of units in a maximal order $\mathscr{D}$ of a central division algebra over $Q$, and the moduli spaces $\mathcal{A}b\text{-}\mathcal{S}h_H^{r,d}$ of abelian $\tau$-sheaves of the second author [Har05], who takes $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{GL}_r$, $n = 2$ and $\omega_1 = (d, 0, \ldots, 0)$ and $\omega_2 = (0, \ldots, 0, -d)$. Both [LRS93] and [Har05] require in addition that the characteristic section $s_2\colon S \to C$ factors through a fixed place $\infty \in C$ and that the associated local $\mathrm{GL}_r$-shtuka at $\infty$ is isoclinic in the sense of [Har05], that is, basic in the sense of [HV11].

## 4 Loop Groups and Local $\mathbb{P}$-Shtukas

In this section we let $\mathbb{P}$ be a smooth affine group scheme over $\mathbb{D} := \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}[\![z]\!]$. We let $\dot{\mathbb{D}} := \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}(\!(z)\!)$ and $P := \mathbb{P} \times_{\mathbb{D}} \dot{\mathbb{D}}$ be the generic fiber of $\mathbb{P}$. We are mainly interested in the situation where we have an isomorphism $\mathbb{D} \cong \operatorname{Spec} A_\nu$ for a place $\nu$ of $C$ and where $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_\nu := \mathfrak{G} \times_C \operatorname{Spec} A_\nu$. In this case $\mathbb{P}$ is even a parahoric group scheme over $\mathbb{D} := \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}[\![z]\!]$ in the sense of [BT72, Définition 5.2.6], see also [HR03], and $P$ is a reductive group over $\mathbb{F}(\!(z)\!)$.

The *group of positive loops associated with* $\mathbb{P}$ is the infinite dimensional affine group scheme $L^+\mathbb{P}$ over $\mathbb{F}$ whose $R$-valued points for an $\mathbb{F}$-algebra $R$ are

$$L^+\mathbb{P}(R) := \mathbb{P}(R[\![z]\!]) := \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{D}_R) := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{D}}(\mathbb{D}_R, \mathbb{P})\,.$$

The *group of loops associated with* $P$ is the *fpqc*-sheaf of groups $LP$ over $\mathbb{F}$ whose $R$-valued points for an $\mathbb{F}$-algebra $R$ are

$$LP(R) := P(R(\!(z)\!)) := P(\dot{\mathbb{D}}_R) := \operatorname{Hom}_{\dot{\mathbb{D}}}(\dot{\mathbb{D}}_R, P)\,,$$

where we write $R(\!(z)\!) := R[\![z]\!][\frac{1}{z}]$ and $\dot{\mathbb{D}}_R := \operatorname{Spec} R(\!(z)\!)$. Let $\mathscr{H}^1(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}, L^+\mathbb{P}) := [\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}/L^+\mathbb{P}]$ (resp. $\mathscr{H}^1(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}, LP) := [\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}/LP]$) denote the classifying space of $L^+\mathbb{P}$-torsors (resp. $LP$-torsors). It is a stack fibered in groupoids over the category of $\mathbb{F}$-schemes $S$ whose category $\mathscr{H}^1(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}, L^+\mathbb{P})(S)$ consists of all $L^+\mathbb{P}$-torsors (resp. $LP$-torsors) on $S$. The inclusion of sheaves $L^+\mathbb{P} \subset LP$ gives rise to the natural 1-morphism

$$L\colon \mathscr{H}^1(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}, L^+\mathbb{P}) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^1(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}, LP),\ \mathcal{L}_+ \mapsto \mathcal{L}\,. \tag{4.5}$$

**Definition 4.1.** Let $S \in \mathcal{N}ilp_{\mathbb{F}[\![\zeta]\!]}$ and let $\hat{\sigma} := \hat{\sigma}_S$ be the $\mathbb{F}$-Frobenius of $S$. A *local* $\mathbb{P}$*-shtuka over* $S \in \mathcal{N}ilp_{\mathbb{F}[\![\zeta]\!]}$ is a pair $\underline{\mathcal{L}} = (\mathcal{L}_+, \hat{\tau})$ consisting of an $L^+\mathbb{P}$-torsor $\mathcal{L}_+$ on $S$ and an isomorphism of the associated loop group torsors $\hat{\tau}\colon \hat{\sigma}^*\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}$ from (4.5). A local $\mathbb{P}$-shtuka $(\mathcal{L}_+, \hat{\tau})$ is called *étale* if $\hat{\tau}$ comes from an isomorphism of $L^+\mathbb{P}$-torsors $\hat{\sigma}^*\mathcal{L}_+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}_+$. We denote the stack fibered in groupoids over $\mathcal{N}ilp_{\mathbb{F}[\![\zeta]\!]}$ which classifies local $\mathbb{P}$-shtukas by $Sht_{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathbb{D}}$.

**Definition 4.2.** A *quasi-isogeny* $f\colon \underline{\mathcal{L}} \to \underline{\mathcal{L}}'$ between two local $\mathbb{P}$-shtukas $\underline{\mathcal{L}} := (\mathcal{L}_+, \hat{\tau})$ and $\underline{\mathcal{L}}' := (\mathcal{L}'_+, \hat{\tau}')$ over $S$ is an isomorphism of the associated $LP$-torsors $f\colon \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}'$ satisfying $f \circ \hat{\tau} = \hat{\tau}' \circ \hat{\sigma}^* f$. We denote by $\operatorname{QIsog}_S(\underline{\mathcal{L}}, \underline{\mathcal{L}}')$ the set of quasi-isogenies between $\underline{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\underline{\mathcal{L}}'$ over $S$, and we write $\operatorname{QIsog}_S(\underline{\mathcal{L}}) := \operatorname{QIsog}_S(\underline{\mathcal{L}}, \underline{\mathcal{L}})$ for the quasi-isogeny group of $\underline{\mathcal{L}}$.

As in the theory of $p$-divisible groups, also our quasi-isogenies are rigid; see [AH13, Proposition 2.11]. Moreover, local $\mathbb{P}$-shtukas possess moduli spaces in the following sense. For a scheme $S$ in $\mathcal{N}ilp_{\mathbb{F}[\![\zeta]\!]}$ let $\bar{S}$ denote the closed subscheme $\mathrm{V}_S(\zeta) \subseteq S$. On the other hand for a scheme $\bar{T}$ over $\mathbb{F}$ we set $\widehat{T} := \bar{T} \,\widehat{\times}_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{F}} \operatorname{Spf} \mathbb{F}[\![\zeta]\!]$. Then $\widehat{T}$ is a $\zeta$-adic formal scheme with underlying topological space $\bar{T} = \mathrm{V}_{\widehat{T}}(\zeta)$.

**Definition 4.3.** With a given local $\mathbb{P}$-shtuka $\underline{\mathcal{L}}_0$ over an $\mathbb{F}$-scheme $\bar T$ we associate the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\underline{\mathcal{L}}_0}\colon \mathcal{N}ilp_{\widehat{T}} &\;\to\; \mathcal{S}ets \\ S &\;\mapsto\; \Big\{\text{Isomorphism classes of } (\underline{\mathcal{L}},\bar\delta)\colon \text{ where } \underline{\mathcal{L}} \text{ is a local } \mathbb{P}\text{-shtuka} \\ &\qquad\quad \text{over } S \text{ and } \bar\delta\colon \underline{\mathcal{L}}_{\bar S}\to \underline{\mathcal{L}}_{0,\bar S} \text{ is a quasi-isogeny over } \bar S\Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we say that $(\underline{\mathcal{L}},\bar\delta)$ and $(\underline{\mathcal{L}}',\bar\delta')$ are isomorphic if $\bar\delta^{-1}\circ\bar\delta'$ lifts to an isomorphism $\underline{\mathcal{L}}'\to\underline{\mathcal{L}}$. The group $\mathrm{QIsog}_{\bar T}(\underline{\mathcal{L}}_0)$ of quasi-isogenies of $\underline{\mathcal{L}}_0$ acts on the functor $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\underline{\mathcal{L}}_0}$ via $g\colon (\underline{\mathcal{L}},\bar\delta)\mapsto(\underline{\mathcal{L}},g\circ\bar\delta)$ for $g\in\mathrm{QIsog}_{\bar T}(\underline{\mathcal{L}}_0)$.

We proved in [AH13, Theorem 4.4] that $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\underline{\mathcal{L}}_0}$ is representable by an ind-scheme, called an *unbounded Rapoport-Zink space*. For this recall that the affine flag variety $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbb{P}}$ is defined to be the *fpqc*-sheaf associated with the presheaf

$$R \;\longmapsto\; LP(R)/L^+\mathbb{P}(R) \;=\; \mathbb{P}\left(R(\!(z)\!)\right)/\mathbb{P}\left(R[\![z]\!]\right)$$

on the category of $\mathbb{F}$-algebras. It is represented by an ind-scheme which is ind-quasi-projective over $\mathbb{F}$, and hence ind-separated and of ind-finite type over $\mathbb{F}$; see [PR08, Theorem 1.4]. Viewing the formal scheme $\widehat{T}=\bar T\,\widehat\times_{\mathbb{F}}\operatorname{Spf}\mathbb{F}[\![\zeta]\!]$ as the ind-scheme $\varinjlim \bar T\times_{\mathbb{F}}\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{F}[\zeta]/(\zeta^m)$ we may form the fiber product $\widehat{\mathcal{F}\ell}_{\mathbb{P},\bar T}:=\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbb{P}}\,\widehat\times_{\mathbb{F}}\widehat{T}$ in the category of ind-schemes (see [BD, 7.11.1]).

**Theorem 4.4.** *The functor $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\underline{\mathcal{L}}_0}$ from Definition 4.3 is represented by an ind-scheme, ind-quasi-projective over $\widehat{T}=\bar T\,\widehat\times_{\mathbb{F}}\operatorname{Spf}\mathbb{F}[\![\zeta]\!]$, hence ind-separated and of ind-finite type over $\widehat{T}$.*

*(a) If $\underline{\mathcal{L}}_0$ is trivialized by an isomorphism $\alpha:\underline{\mathcal{L}}_0\xrightarrow{\sim}\big((L^+\mathbb{P})_{\bar T},b\hat\sigma^*\big)$ with $b\in LP(\bar T)$ then $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\underline{\mathcal{L}}_0}$ is represented by the ind-scheme $\widehat{\mathcal{F}\ell}_{\mathbb{P},\bar T}:=\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbb{P}}\,\widehat\times_{\mathbb{F}}\widehat{T}$.*

*(b) If $\mathbb{P}$ is reductive (or more generally if $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbb{P}}$ is ind-projective over $\mathbb{F}$) then $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\underline{\mathcal{L}}_0}$ is ind-projective over $\widehat{T}$.*

If one in addition imposes a boundedness condition on the Hodge polygon of the local $\mathbb{P}$-shtukas, and assumes $T=\operatorname{Spec}k$ for a field $k$, then one even obtains a formal scheme locally formally of finite type over $\operatorname{Spf}k[\![\zeta]\!]$. Here a formal scheme over $\operatorname{Spf}k[\![\zeta]\!]$ in the sense of [EGA, $\mathrm{I}_{new}$, 10] is called *locally formally of finite type* if it is locally noetherian and adic and its reduced subscheme is locally of finite type over $k$. We recall the following definition from [AH13, Definition 4.5].

**Definition 4.5.** We define a *bound* to be a closed ind-subscheme $\hat Z$ of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}\ell}_{\mathbb{P}}:=\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbb{P}}\,\widehat\times_{\mathbb{F}}\operatorname{Spf}\mathbb{F}[\![\zeta]\!]$ which is stable under the left $L^+\mathbb{P}$-action, such that $Z:=\hat Z\,\widehat\times_{\operatorname{Spf}\mathbb{F}[\![\zeta]\!]}\operatorname{Spec}\mathbb{F}$ is a quasi-compact subscheme of $\mathcal{F}\ell_{\mathbb{P}}$.

Let $\mathcal{L}_+$ and $\mathcal{L}'_+$ be $L^+\mathbb{P}$-torsors over a scheme $S$ in $\mathcal{N}ilp_{\mathbb{F}[\![\zeta]\!]}$ and let $\delta\colon\mathcal{L}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{L}'$ be an isomorphism of the associated $LP$-torsors. We consider an étale covering $S'\to S$ over which trivializations $\alpha:\mathcal{L}_+\xrightarrow{\sim}(L^+\mathbb{P})_{S'}$ and $\alpha':\mathcal{L}'_+\xrightarrow{\sim}(L^+\mathbb{P})_{S'}$ exist. Then the automorphism $\alpha'\circ\delta\circ\alpha^{-1}$ of $(LP)_{S'}$ corresponds to a morphism $S'\to LP$. We say that $\delta$ is *bounded by* $\hat Z$ if for (some) any such trivialization the induced morphism $S'\to LP\to\widehat{\mathcal{F}\ell}_{\mathbb{P}}$ factors through $\hat Z$. By the $L^+\mathbb{P}$-invariance of $\hat Z$ the definition is independent of the trivializations. Furthermore we say that a local $\mathbb{P}$-shtuka $(\mathcal{L}_+,\hat\tau)$ is *bounded by* $\hat Z$ if the isomorphism $\hat\tau$ is bounded by $\hat Z$.

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let $\mathcal{L}_+$ and $\mathcal{L}'_+$ be $L^+\mathbb{P}$-torsors over a scheme $S\in\mathcal{N}ilp_{\mathbb{F}[\![\zeta]\!]}$ and let $\delta\colon\mathcal{L}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{L}'$ be an isomorphism of the associated $LP$-torsors. Let $\hat Z$ be a bound. Then the condition that $\delta$ is bounded by $\hat Z$ is represented by a closed subscheme of $S$.*

*Proof.* As in Definition 4.5 consider trivializations of $\mathcal{L}_+$ and $\mathcal{L}'_+$ over an étale covering $S' \to S$ and the induced morphism $S' \to LP \to \widehat{\mathcal{F}\ell}_{\mathbb{P}}$. By the $L^+\mathbb{P}$-invariance of $\hat{Z}$ the closed subscheme $S' \widehat{\times}_{\widehat{\mathcal{F}\ell}_{\mathbb{P}}} \hat{Z}$ of $S'$ descends to a closed subscheme of $S$ which represents the boundedness of $\delta$ by $\hat{Z}$. □

To formulate the pro-representability in the bounded case we consider the following important special situation. Let $\bar{T} = \operatorname{Spec} k$ and let $\underline{\mathbb{L}}_0 = (L^+\mathbb{P}, b\hat{\sigma}^*)$ be a trivialized local $\mathbb{P}$-shtuka over $\operatorname{Spec} k$. Assume that $b$ is decent with integer $s$ in the sense of [AH13, Equation (4.3)], and let $\ell \subset k^{\mathrm{alg}}$ be the finite field extension of $\mathbb{F}$ of degree $s$. Then $b \in LP(\ell)$ by [AH13, Remark 4.10]. So $\underline{\mathbb{L}}_0$ is defined over $\bar{T} = \operatorname{Spec} \ell$ and we may replace $k$ by $\ell$. Then $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_0}$ is defined over $\operatorname{Spf} \ell[\![\zeta]\!]$. Notice that if $k$ is algebraically closed any local $\mathbb{P}$-shtuka over $k$ is trivial and decent by [AH13, Remark 4.10].

**Theorem 4.7.** *The functor*

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_0}^{\hat{Z}} \colon (\mathcal{N}ilp_{\ell[\![\zeta]\!]})^o \quad &\longrightarrow \quad \mathcal{S}ets \\ S \quad &\longmapsto \quad \Big\{ \textit{Isomorphism classes of } (\underline{\mathcal{L}}, \bar{\delta}) \in \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_0}(S) \colon \underline{\mathcal{L}} \textit{ is bounded by } \hat{Z} \Big\} \end{aligned}$$

*is pro-representable by a formal scheme over* $\operatorname{Spf} \ell[\![\zeta]\!]$ *which is locally formally of finite type. It is called a* bounded Rapoport-Zink space for local $\mathbb{P}$-shtukas*.*

**Remark 4.8.** The group $\operatorname{QIsog}_\ell(\underline{\mathbb{L}}_0)$ of quasi-isogenies of $\underline{\mathbb{L}}_0$ acts on $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_0}^{\hat{Z}}$ via $g \colon (\underline{\mathcal{L}}, \bar{\delta}) \mapsto (\underline{\mathcal{L}}, g \circ \bar{\delta})$ for $g \in \operatorname{QIsog}_\ell(\underline{\mathbb{L}}_0)$. Following [AH13, Remark 4.11] we denote this group by $J_b(\mathbb{F}(\!(z)\!))$.

# 5 Unbounded Uniformization

Analogously to the functor which assigns to an abelian variety $A$ over a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-scheme its $p$-divisible group $A[p^\infty]$ we introduced in [AH13, Section 5.2] a global-local functor which assigns to a global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtuka an $n$-tuple of local $\mathbb{P}_{\nu_i}$-shtukas. The reason for the $n$-tuple is that our global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtukas have $n$ characteristic places, whereas abelian varieties only have one characteristic place. We consider the following situation.

**Definition 5.1.** Fix a tuple $\underline{\nu} := (\nu_i)_{i=1\ldots n}$ of places on $C$ with $\nu_i \neq \nu_j$ for $i \neq j$. Let $A_{\underline{\nu}}$ be the completion of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{C^n,\underline{\nu}}$ of $C^n$ at the closed point $\underline{\nu}$, and let $\mathbb{F}_{\underline{\nu}}$ be the residue field of the point $\underline{\nu}$. Then $\mathbb{F}_{\underline{\nu}}$ is the compositum of the fields $\mathbb{F}_{\nu_i}$ inside $\mathbb{F}_q^{\mathrm{alg}}$, and $A_{\underline{\nu}} \cong \mathbb{F}_{\underline{\nu}}[\![\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n]\!]$ where $\zeta_i$ is a uniformizing parameter of $C$ at $\nu_i$. Let the stack

$$\nabla_n \mathscr{H}^1(C, \mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}} \; := \; \nabla_n \mathscr{H}^1(C, \mathfrak{G}) \widehat{\times}_{C^n} \operatorname{Spf} A_{\underline{\nu}}$$

be the formal completion of the ind-algebraic stack $\nabla_n \mathscr{H}^1(C, \mathfrak{G})$ along $\underline{\nu} \in C^n$. It is an ind-algebraic stack over $\operatorname{Spf} A_{\underline{\nu}}$ which is ind-separated and locally of ind-finite type by Theorem 3.14.

The *global-local functor* $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu_i} \colon \nabla_n \mathscr{H}^1(C, G)^{\underline{\nu}}(S) \to Sht_{\mathbb{P}_{\nu_i}}^{\operatorname{Spec} A_{\nu_i}}(S)$ from [AH13, Definition 5.4] assigns to $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ its local $\mathbb{P}_{\nu_i}$-shtuka $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu_i}(\underline{\mathcal{G}})$ at $\nu_i$. The *global-local functor* $\underline{\widehat{\Gamma}} := \prod_i \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu_i} \colon \nabla_n \mathscr{H}^1(C, G)^{\underline{\nu}}(S) \to \prod_i Sht_{\mathbb{P}_{\nu_i}}^{\operatorname{Spec} A_{\nu_i}}(S)$ assigns to $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ the $n$-tuple $\big(\widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu_i}(\underline{\mathcal{G}})\big)_i$. Both functors also transform quasi-isogenies into quasi-isogenies.

Note that at a place $\nu$ outside the characteristic places $\nu_i$ we also associated with $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ an étale local $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_\nu$-shtuka $L_\nu^+(\underline{\mathcal{G}})$ in [AH13, Remark 5.6]. Here $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_\nu = \operatorname{Res}_{\mathbb{F}_\nu/\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{P}_\nu$ is the Weil restriction. We proved the following result in [AH13, Proposition 5.7 and Remark 5.8].

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let* $\underline{\mathcal{G}} \in \nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}(S)$ *be a global* $\mathfrak{G}$*-shtuka over* $S$ *and let* $\nu \in C$ *be a place. If* $\nu \in \underline{\nu}$ *consider a quasi-isogeny of local* $\mathbb{P}_\nu$*-shtukas* $f\colon \underline{\mathcal{L}}'_\nu \to \widehat{\Gamma}_\nu(\underline{\mathcal{G}})$*. If* $\nu \notin \underline{\nu}$ *consider a quasi-isogeny of local* $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_\nu$*-shtukas* $f\colon \underline{\mathcal{L}}'_\nu \to L^+_\nu(\underline{\mathcal{G}})$ *and assume that* $\underline{\mathcal{L}}'_\nu$ *is étale. Then there exists a unique global* $\mathfrak{G}$*-shtuka* $\underline{\mathcal{G}}' \in \nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}(S)$ *and a unique quasi-isogeny* $g\colon \underline{\mathcal{G}}' \to \underline{\mathcal{G}}$ *which is the identity outside* $\nu$*, such that the local* $\mathbb{P}_\nu$*-shtuka (resp.* $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_\nu$*-shtuka) associated with* $\underline{\mathcal{G}}'$ *is* $\underline{\mathcal{L}}'_\nu$ *and the quasi-isogeny of local* $\mathbb{P}_\nu$*-shtukas (resp.* $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_\nu$*-shtukas) induced by* $g$ *is* $f$*. We denote* $\underline{\mathcal{G}}'$ *by* $f^*\underline{\mathcal{G}}$*.*

As we shall see in the next theorem, the unbounded Rapoport-Zink space appears as the uniformization space for $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})$. We retain the notation of Definition 5.1.

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let* $\bar{T}$ *be a scheme over* $\operatorname{Spec} A_{\underline{\nu}}/(\zeta_1,\dots,\zeta_n)$*, and let* $\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0$ *be a global* $\mathfrak{G}$*-shtuka in* $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}(\bar{T})$*. Let* $(\underline{\mathcal{L}}_i)_i := \underline{\widehat{\Gamma}}(\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0)$ *where* $\underline{\widehat{\Gamma}}$ *is the global-local functor from Definition 5.1. Consider the unbounded Rapoport-Zink spaces* $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\underline{\mathcal{L}}_i}$ *which are ind-quasi-projective ind-schemes over* $\bar{T}\,\widehat{\times}_{\mathbb{F}_{\nu_i}} \operatorname{Spf} A_{\nu_i}$ *by Theorem 4.4 and the product* $\prod_i \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\underline{\mathcal{L}}_i} := \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\underline{\mathcal{L}}_1} \widehat{\times}_{\bar{T}} \dots \widehat{\times}_{\bar{T}} \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\underline{\mathcal{L}}_n}$ *which is an ind-quasi-projective ind-scheme over* $\widehat{T} := \bar{T}\,\widehat{\times}_{\mathbb{F}_{\underline{\nu}}} \operatorname{Spf} A_{\underline{\nu}}$*. Then there is a natural morphism of ind-algebraic stacks over* $\widehat{T}$

$$\Psi_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0}\colon \prod_i \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\underline{\mathcal{L}}_i} \longrightarrow \nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}\,\widehat{\times}_{\mathbb{F}_{\underline{\nu}}}\bar{T} \;=\; \nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})\,\widehat{\times}_{C^n}\widehat{T},$$

*which is ind-proper and formally étale.*

*Proof.* By rigidity of quasi-isogenies [AH13, Proposition 2.11] the functor $\underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\underline{\mathcal{L}}_0}$ is naturally isomorphic to the functor

$$\begin{aligned} S \quad\mapsto\quad \big\{&\text{Isomorphism classes of } (\underline{\mathcal{L}},\delta)\colon\ \text{where } \underline{\mathcal{L}} \text{ is a local } \mathbb{P}\text{-shtuka} \\ &\qquad\qquad \text{over } S \text{ and } \delta\colon \underline{\mathcal{L}}_S \to \underline{\mathcal{L}}_{0,S} \text{ is a quasi-isogeny over } S\big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $S \to \widehat{T}$ be a $\widehat{T}$-scheme. In particular via the morphism $S \to \widehat{T} \to \bar{T}\,\widehat{\times}_{\mathbb{F}_{\underline{\nu}}} \operatorname{Spf} \mathbb{F}_{\underline{\nu}}[\![\zeta_i]\!] = \bar{T}\,\widehat{\times}_{\mathbb{F}_{\nu_i}} \operatorname{Spf} A_{\nu_i}$ the scheme $S$ lies in $\mathcal{N}ilp_{\bar{T}\,\widehat{\times}_{\mathbb{F}_{\nu_i}} \operatorname{Spf} A_{\nu_i}}$. Let $s_i\colon S \to \operatorname{Spf} A_{\nu_i} \to C$ be the induced characteristic morphism. Take an element $\big((\underline{\mathcal{L}}'_i),\delta_i\big)_i$ of $\prod_i \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\underline{\mathcal{L}}_i}(S)$ and the global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtuka $\delta_1^*\underline{\mathcal{G}}_{0,S}$ from Proposition 5.2. Since $\nu_i \neq \nu_j$ for $i \neq j$ the local $\mathbb{P}_{\nu_i}$-shtuka $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu_i}(\delta_1^*\underline{\mathcal{G}}_{0,S})$ equals $\underline{\mathcal{L}}_i$ for $i \geq 2$. We may therefore iterate this procedure for $i = 2,\dots,n$ to obtain a global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtuka $\delta_n^* \circ \ldots \circ \delta_1^*\underline{\mathcal{G}}_{0,S}$ in $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}(S)$. It satisfies $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu_i}(\delta_n^* \circ \ldots \circ \delta_1^*\underline{\mathcal{G}}_{0,S}) = \underline{\mathcal{L}}'_i$. Sending $\big((\underline{\mathcal{L}}'_i),\delta_i\big)_i$ to $\delta_n^* \circ \ldots \circ \delta_1^*\underline{\mathcal{G}}_{0,S}$ establishes the morphism $\Psi_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0}$.

That the morphism is formally étale is just another way of phrasing the rigidity of quasi-isogenies. We give more details. Let $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ be an $S$-valued point of $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}\,\widehat{\times}_{\mathbb{F}_{\underline{\nu}}}\bar{T}$. Let $\bar{S}$ be a closed subscheme of $S$ defined by a locally nilpotent sheaf of ideals. Further assume that $\underline{\mathcal{G}}_{\bar{S}} = \bar{\delta}_n^* \circ \ldots \circ \bar{\delta}_1^*\underline{\mathcal{G}}_{0,\bar{S}}$ for quasi-isogenies $\bar{\delta}_i\colon \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu_i}(\underline{\mathcal{G}}_{\bar{S}}) \to (\underline{\mathcal{L}}_i)_{\bar{S}}$ as above, defined over $\bar{S}$. Now these quasi-isogenies lift uniquely to quasi-isogenies $\delta_i\colon \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu_i}(\underline{\mathcal{G}}) \to (\underline{\mathcal{L}}_i)_S$ over $S$ by rigidity [AH13, Proposition 2.11]. The $S$-valued point $\delta_n^*\circ\ldots\circ\delta_1^*\underline{\mathcal{G}}_{0,S}$ of $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}$ is equal to $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ by construction.

It remains to verify that $\Psi_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0}$ is ind-proper. Since $\prod_i \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\underline{\mathcal{L}}_i}$ is of ind-finite type over $\widehat{T}$ we can test the ind-properness of $\Psi_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0}$ by the valuative criterion of properness; see [LM00, Theorem 7.3]. Let $R$ be a strictly henselian valuation ring with fraction field $L$. Let $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ be an $R$ valued point of $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}\,\widehat{\times}_{\mathbb{F}_{\underline{\nu}}}\bar{T}$ and set $\underline{\widehat{\Gamma}}(\underline{\mathcal{G}}) = (\underline{\mathcal{L}}'_i)_i$. Since $R$ is strictly henselian, we may trivialize all local $\mathbb{P}_{\nu_i}$-shtukas $\underline{\mathcal{L}}'_i \cong ((L^+\mathbb{P}_{\nu_i})_R, b'_i\,\hat{\sigma}^*_{\nu_i})$ and $(\underline{\mathcal{L}}_i)_R \cong ((L^+\mathbb{P}_{\nu_i})_R, b_i\,\hat{\sigma}^*_{\nu_i})$ over $R$. Consider an $L$-valued point $\underline{x}$ of $\prod_i \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\underline{\mathcal{L}}_i}$ which maps to $\underline{\mathcal{G}}_L$ under the morphism $\Psi_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0}$ and represent it as the tuple $\big(((L^+\mathbb{P}_{\nu_i})_L, b'_i\,\hat{\sigma}^*_{\nu_i}), g_i\big)_i$, where $g_i$ lies in $LP_{\nu_i}(L)$. We may take a faithful representation

$\rho\colon \mathfrak{G} \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V})$, where $\mathcal{V}$ is a vector bundle over $C$ of rank $r$; see Proposition 2.2(a). Let $\rho_*\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0$ be the induced global $\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V})$-shtuka over $\bar{T}$. Note that we want to show that there is a unique morphism $\tilde{\alpha}$ which fits into the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{Spec} L \arrow[r, "\underline{x}"] \arrow[d] & \prod_i \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\underline{\mathcal{L}}_i} \arrow[r, "\rho_*"] \arrow[d, "\Psi_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0}"] & \prod_i \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\rho_*\underline{\mathcal{L}}_i} \arrow[d, "\Psi_{\rho_*\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0}"] \\
\operatorname{Spec} R \arrow[r] \arrow[ur, dashed, "\tilde{\alpha}"] \arrow[urr, "\alpha"'] & \nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}} \,\widehat{\times}_{\mathbb{F}_{\underline{\nu}}} \bar{T} \arrow[r, "\rho_*"] \arrow[d] & \nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V}))^{\underline{\nu}} \,\widehat{\times}_{\mathbb{F}_{\underline{\nu}}} \bar{T} \arrow[d] \\
 & \widehat{T} \arrow[r, equal] & \widehat{T}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

The horizontal arrows in the right commutative diagram are induced by the representation $\rho$. In addition the existence and uniqueness of the morphism $\alpha$ follows from the fact that $\prod_i \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\rho_*\underline{\mathcal{L}}_i}$ is ind-proper over $\widehat{T}$ by Theorem 4.4 because $\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V})$ is reductive, and that $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{V}))^{\underline{\nu}} \,\widehat{\times}_{\mathbb{F}_{\underline{\nu}}} \bar{T}$ is ind-separated over $\widehat{T}$ by Theorem 3.14. The $R$-valued point $\alpha$ is given by a tuple of the form $\big(\big(\rho_*(L^+\mathbb{P}_{\nu_i})_R, \rho(b_i')\hat{\sigma}^*_{\nu_i}\big), \widetilde{g}_i\big)_i$, where $\widetilde{g}_i$ lies in $L\mathrm{GL}_r(R)$. Since $LG$ is closed in $L\mathrm{GL}_r$ and $\widetilde{g}_i$ extends $g_i$ over $R$ the element $\widetilde{g}_i$ lies in fact in $LP_{\nu_i}(R)$. Thus $\widetilde{g}_i$ produces an extension of $\big(((L^+\mathbb{P}_{\nu_i})_L, b_i'\hat{\sigma}^*_{\nu_i}), g_i\big)_i$ over $R$. This gives the desired morphism $\tilde{\alpha}$. Note that the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \prod_i \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\underline{\mathcal{L}}_i} \arrow[d, "\Psi_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0}"] \\
\operatorname{Spec} R \arrow[r] \arrow[ur, dashed, "\tilde{\alpha}"] & \nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}} \,\widehat{\times}_{\mathbb{F}_{\underline{\nu}}} \bar{T}
\end{tikzcd}$$

follows from the ind-separatedness of the stack $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}} \,\widehat{\times}_{\mathbb{F}_{\underline{\nu}}} \bar{T}$; see Theorem 3.14. □

**Remark 5.4.** We observe that the image of $\Psi_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0}$ lies inside the quasi-isogeny locus of $\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0$ in $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}$. Indeed, by the above construction, starting with an $S$-valued point $\underline{x} = \big((\underline{\mathcal{L}}_i'), \delta_i\big)_i$ of $\prod_i \underline{\mathcal{M}}_{\underline{\mathcal{L}}_i}(S)$ there is a unique quasi-isogeny $\delta_{\underline{x}}\colon \Psi_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0}(\underline{x}) = \delta_n^* \circ \ldots \circ \delta_1^*\underline{\mathcal{G}}_{0,S} \to \underline{\mathcal{G}}_{0,S}$ which is an isomorphism outside the $\nu_i$ with $\Big(\underline{\widehat{\Gamma}}(\Psi_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0}(\underline{x})), \underline{\widehat{\Gamma}}(\delta_{\underline{x}})\Big) = \underline{x}$ by Proposition 5.2.

## 6 Galois Representations Associated with Global $\mathfrak{G}$-Shtukas

We want to generalize the notion of level structures on global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtukas in this section. Fix an $n$-tuple $\underline{\nu} := (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n)$ of pairwise different places on $C$ and recall the notation from Definition 5.1. Let $C' := C \smallsetminus \{\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n\}$. Let $S$ be a scheme in $\mathcal{N}ilp_{A_{\underline{\nu}}}$ and let $\underline{\mathcal{G}} \in \nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}(S)$ be a global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtuka over $S$. For a finite subscheme $D$ of $C$ set $D_S := D \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} S$ and let $\underline{\mathcal{G}}|_{D_S} := \underline{\mathcal{G}} \times_{C_S} D_S$ denote the pullback of $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ to $D_S$. Let $\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}} \mathfrak{G}$ be the category of representations of $\mathfrak{G}$ in finite free modules $V$ over the ring $\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}} := \prod_{\nu \notin \underline{\nu}} A_\nu$ of integral adeles of $C$ outside $\underline{\nu}$. More precisely, $\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}} \mathfrak{G}$ is the category of $\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}$-morphisms $\rho\colon \mathfrak{G} \times_C \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}} \to \mathrm{GL}_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}}(V)$. Assume that $S$ is connected, fix a geometric base point $\bar{s}$ of $S$, and let $Funct^{\otimes}(\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}} \mathfrak{G},\ \mathfrak{Mod}_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}[\pi_1(S,\bar{s})]})$ denote the category of tensor functors from $\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}} \mathfrak{G}$ to the category $\mathfrak{Mod}_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}[\pi_1(S,\bar{s})]}$ of $\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}[\pi_1(S,\bar{s})]$-modules. We define the (*dual*) *Tate functors* as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\mathcal{T}}_{-}\colon \nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}(S) &\longrightarrow \mathit{Funct}^{\otimes}(\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}}\mathfrak{G}\,,\,\mathfrak{Mod}_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}[\pi_1(S,\bar{s})]}) &(6.1)\\ \underline{\mathcal{G}} &\longmapsto \big(\check{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}}\colon \rho\mapsto \varprojlim_{D\subset C'}(\rho_*\underline{\mathcal{G}}|_{D_{\bar{s}}})^{\tau}\big), \\ \check{\mathcal{V}}_{-}\colon \nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}(S) &\longrightarrow \mathit{Funct}^{\otimes}(\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}}\mathfrak{G}\,,\,\mathfrak{Mod}_{\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}}[\pi_1(S,\bar{s})]}) &(6.2)\\ \underline{\mathcal{G}} &\longmapsto \big(\check{\mathcal{V}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}}\colon \rho\mapsto \varprojlim_{D\subset C'}(\rho_*\underline{\mathcal{G}}|_{D_{\bar{s}}})^{\tau}\otimes_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}}\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}}\big). \end{aligned}$$

This definition is independent of $\bar{s}$ up to a change of base point. By [AH13, Remark 5.6] there is a canonical isomorphism $\varprojlim_{D\subset C'}(\rho_*\underline{\mathcal{G}}|_{D_{\bar{s}}})^{\tau}\cong\prod_{\nu\in C'}\check{\mathcal{T}}_{L_\nu^+(\underline{\mathcal{G}})}(\rho_\nu)$ where $\rho=(\rho_\nu)$ and where $L_\nu^+(\underline{\mathcal{G}})$ is the étale local $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_\nu$-shtuka associated with $\underline{\mathcal{G}}=(\mathcal{G},\tau)$ at a place $\nu\in C$ outside the characteristic places $\underline{\nu}$. The functor $\check{\mathcal{V}}_{-}$ moreover transforms quasi-isogenies into isomorphisms.

**Definition 6.1.** Let $\omega^{\circ}_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}}\colon\ \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}}\mathfrak{G}\to\mathfrak{Mod}_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}}$ denote the forgetful functor and set $\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}}:=\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C}Q$ and $\omega^{\circ}:=\omega^{\circ}_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}}\otimes_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}}\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}}\colon\ \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}}\mathfrak{G}\to\mathfrak{Mod}_{\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}}}$. For a global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtuka $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ over $S$ let us consider the sets of isomorphisms of tensor functors $\mathrm{Isom}^{\otimes}(\check{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}},\omega^{\circ}_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}})$ and $\mathrm{Isom}^{\otimes}(\check{\mathcal{V}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}},\omega^{\circ})$. These sets are non-empty by Lemma 6.2 below. Note that $\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}})=\mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}(\omega^{\circ}_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}})$ by the generalized tannakian formalism [Wed04, Corollary 5.20], because $\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}$ is a Prüfer ring. By the definition of the Tate functor, $\mathrm{Isom}^{\otimes}(\check{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}},\omega^{\circ}_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}})$ admits an action of $\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}})\times\pi_1(S,\bar{s})$ where $\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}})$ acts through $\omega^{\circ}_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}}$ and $\pi_1(S,\bar{s})$ acts through $\check{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}}$. Also $\mathrm{Isom}^{\otimes}(\check{\mathcal{V}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}},\omega^{\circ})$ admits an action of $\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})\times\pi_1(S,\bar{s})$.

**Lemma 6.2.** *The sets* $\mathrm{Isom}^{\otimes}(\check{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}},\omega^{\circ}_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}})$ *and* $\mathrm{Isom}^{\otimes}(\check{\mathcal{V}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}},\omega^{\circ})$ *are non-empty.*

*Proof.* Using the injective map $\mathrm{Isom}^{\otimes}(\check{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}},\omega^{\circ}_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}})\longrightarrow\mathrm{Isom}^{\otimes}(\check{\mathcal{V}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}},\omega^{\circ}),\ \gamma\mapsto\gamma_Q:=\gamma\otimes_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}}\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}}$ it suffices to prove the non-emptiness of $\mathrm{Isom}^{\otimes}(\check{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}},\omega^{\circ}_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}})$. Since the question only depends on the pullback of $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ to the geometric base point $\bar{s}$ we may assume that $S=\operatorname{Spec}k$ for an algebraically closed field $k$. For each place $\nu\in C'$ we consider the étale local $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_\nu$-shtuka $L_\nu^+(\underline{\mathcal{G}})$ associated with $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ as in [AH13, Remark 5.6]. By [AH13, Corollary 2.9] there is an isomorphism $L_\nu^+(\underline{\mathcal{G}})\xrightarrow{\ \sim\ }\big((L^+\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_\nu)_k,1\cdot\hat{\sigma}^*\big)=:\underline{\mathbb{L}}_\nu$. For the local $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_\nu$-shtuka $\underline{\mathbb{L}}_\nu$ the Tate functor $\check{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_\nu}$ equals the forgetful functor $\mathrm{Rep}_{A_\nu}\mathbb{P}_\nu\to\mathfrak{Mod}_{A_\nu}$. Then $\check{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}}\cong\prod_{\nu\in C'}\check{\mathcal{T}}_{L_\nu^+(\underline{\mathcal{G}})}\cong\prod_{\nu\in C'}\check{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_\nu}=\omega^{\circ}_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}}$ provides an isomorphism in $\mathrm{Isom}^{\otimes}(\check{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}},\omega^{\circ}_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}})$. □

**Definition 6.3.** (a) For a compact open subgroup $H\subseteq\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})$ we define a *rational* $H$*-level structure* $\bar{\gamma}$ on a global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtuka $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ over $S\in\mathcal{N}ilp_{A_{\underline{\nu}}}$ as a $\pi_1(S,\bar{s})$-invariant $H$-orbit $\bar{\gamma}=H\gamma$ in $\mathrm{Isom}^{\otimes}(\check{\mathcal{V}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}},\omega^{\circ})$.

(b) We denote by $\nabla_n^H\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}$ the category fibered in groupoids over $\mathcal{N}ilp_{A_{\underline{\nu}}}$ whose $S$-valued points $\nabla_n^H\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}(S)$ is the category whose objects are tuples $(\underline{\mathcal{G}},\bar{\gamma})$, consisting of a global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtuka $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ in $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}(S)$ together with a rational $H$-level structure $\bar{\gamma}$, and whose morphisms are quasi-isogenies of global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtukas that are isomorphisms at the characteristic places $\nu_i$ and are compatible with the $H$-level structures.

This definition of level structures generalizes our initial Definition 3.4 according to the following

**Theorem 6.4.** *Let $D\subset C$ be a finite subscheme disjoint from $\underline{\nu}$, and consider the compact open subgroup $H_D:=\ker\big(\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}})\to\mathfrak{G}(\mathcal{O}_D)\big)$ of $\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})$. Then there is a canonical isomorphism of stacks*

$$\nabla_n\mathscr{H}_D^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}\ \xrightarrow{\ \sim\ }\ \nabla_n^{H_D}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}.$$

*Proof.* Let $(\underline{\mathcal{G}}, \psi)$ be an object in $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}_D^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}(S)$ where $\psi$ is a $D$-level structure; see Definition 3.1. For any representation $\rho$ in $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}}\mathfrak{G}$ the isomorphism $\psi\colon \underline{\mathcal{G}}|_{D_S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{G}\times_C D_S$ induces an isomorphism $\rho_*\underline{\mathcal{G}}|_{D_S} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{GL}_{\dim\rho, D_S}$ and consequently we obtain a transformation $\bar{\gamma}\colon \check{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}}\otimes_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}}\mathcal{O}_D \to \omega^\circ_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}}\otimes_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}}\mathcal{O}_D$. Let $\gamma\in \mathrm{Isom}^\otimes(\check{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}}, \omega^\circ_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}})$ be a lift of $\bar{\gamma}$ to $\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}$ and let $\gamma_Q\in\mathrm{Isom}^\otimes(\check{\mathcal{V}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}},\omega^\circ)$ be the isomorphism induced from $\gamma$. Then the coset $H_D\gamma_Q$ only depends on $\psi$ and we define the morphism

$$\nabla_n\mathscr{H}_D^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}(S) \xrightarrow{\sim} \nabla_n^{H_D}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}(S) \tag{6.3}$$

by sending $(\underline{\mathcal{G}},\psi)$ to $(\underline{\mathcal{G}}, H_D\gamma_Q)$.

Let us show that this functor is essentially surjective. Let $(\underline{\mathcal{G}}, H_D\gamma_Q)$ be an object of the category $\nabla_n^{H_D}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}$. By Lemma 6.2 we may choose an isomorphism $\beta\colon \check{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}}\xrightarrow{\sim}\omega^\circ_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}}$. The automorphism $\gamma_Q\beta_Q^{-1}\in Aut^\otimes(\omega^\circ)$ corresponds to an element $g\in\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})$ by [Wed04, Corollary 5.20]. We may write $g := (g_{x_1},\ldots,g_{x_r},g^{\underline{x}})\in\mathfrak{G}(Q_{x_1})\times\ldots\times\mathfrak{G}(Q_{x_r})\times\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu},\underline{x}})$ for suitable $r$ and $\underline{x}=(x_i)_i\in(C')^r$, and set $g' := g\cdot(1,\ldots,1,g^{\underline{x}})^{-1} = (g_{x_1},\ldots,g_{x_r},1)$ and $\beta' := (1,\ldots,1,g^{\underline{x}})\cdot\beta\in\mathrm{Isom}^\otimes(\check{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}},\omega^\circ_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}})$. For each $x_i$ let $L^+_{x_i}(\underline{\mathcal{G}})$ be the étale local $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{x_i}$-shtuka associated with $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ by [AH13, Remark 5.6]. We consider the automorphism $\delta := (\delta_{x_1},\ldots,\delta_{x_r},1) := (\beta'_Q)^{-1}(g')^{-1}\beta'_Q = \gamma_Q^{-1}\beta'_Q\in\mathrm{Aut}^\otimes(\check{\mathcal{V}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}})$ and in particular its components $\delta_{x_i}\in\mathrm{Aut}^\otimes\big(\check{\mathcal{V}}_{L^+_{x_i}(\underline{\mathcal{G}})}\big)$. By [AH13, Proposition 3.6] there is a quasi-isogeny $f_i\colon L^+_{x_i}(\underline{\mathcal{G}})\to L^+_{x_i}(\underline{\mathcal{G}})$ with $\check{\mathcal{V}}_{L^+_{x_i}(\underline{\mathcal{G}})}(f_i)=\delta_{x_i}$.

From Proposition 5.2 we obtain a global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtuka $\underline{\mathcal{G}}' = f_r^*\circ\ldots\circ f_1^*\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ together with a quasi-isogeny $f\colon\underline{\mathcal{G}}'\to\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ which is the identity outside the $x_i$, such that the induced quasi-isogeny of the associated étale local $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}_{x_i}$-shtukas is $f_i$. Then the rational Tate functor $\check{\mathcal{V}}$ from (6.2) takes $f$ to

$$\check{\mathcal{V}}_f \;=\; \delta \;=\; (\beta'_Q)^{-1}(g')^{-1}\beta'_Q \;=\; \gamma_Q^{-1}\beta'_Q.$$

Consider the pair $(\underline{\mathcal{G}}', H_D\beta'_Q)$ consisting of the global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtuka $\underline{\mathcal{G}}' = (\mathcal{G}',\tau')$ together with the level structure $\beta'\colon\check{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}'}\to\omega^\circ_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}}$ defined over $\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}$. Note that this is quasi-isogenous to $(\underline{\mathcal{G}}, H_D\gamma_Q)$ under $f$ and that $f$ is an isomorphism outside the $x_i$.

We now want to show that $(\underline{\mathcal{G}}', H_D\beta'_Q) = ((\mathcal{G}',\tau'), H_D\beta'_Q)$ actually comes from a pair $(\underline{\mathcal{G}}',\psi)$ in $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}_D^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}$. Consider the category $\mathrm{Rep}_C\,\mathfrak{G}$ of representations of $\mathfrak{G}$ in finite locally free $\mathcal{O}_C$-modules, the category $Vect_C$ of finite locally free $\mathcal{O}_C$-modules, and the natural forgetful functor $\omega_C^\circ\colon\mathrm{Rep}_C\,\mathfrak{G}\to Vect_C$. The composition of the functor $\cdot\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C}\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}\colon\mathrm{Rep}_C\,\mathfrak{G}\to\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}}\mathfrak{G}$ followed by the fiber functor $\omega^\circ_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}}$ equals $\omega_C^\circ\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C}\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}$. Also consider the category $\mathfrak{FMod}_{D_S}$ of finite locally free sheaves on $D_S$, and the functor

$$\mathcal{M}^S_{-}\colon\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})(S)\to Funct^\otimes(\mathrm{Rep}_C\,\mathfrak{G},\mathfrak{FMod}_{D_S}),\quad \mathcal{G}\longmapsto\big(\mathcal{M}^S_{\mathcal{G}}\colon\rho\mapsto\rho_*\mathcal{G}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{C_S}}\mathcal{O}_{D_S}\big).$$

In particular $\mathcal{M}^S_{\mathfrak{G}\times_C C_S} = \omega_C^\circ\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C}\mathcal{O}_{D_S}$. For a representation $\rho\in\mathrm{Rep}_C\,\mathfrak{G}$ the “finite shtuka” $\rho_*\underline{\mathcal{G}}'\times_{C_S}D_S$ over $S$ consists of the locally free sheaf $M := \rho_*\mathcal{G}'\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{C_S}}\mathcal{O}_{D_S} = \mathcal{M}^S_{\mathcal{G}'}(\rho)$ on $D_S$ together with the isomorphism $\rho_*\tau'\colon\sigma^*M\xrightarrow{\sim}M$. Let $\widetilde{S}\to S$ be the finite étale Galois covering corresponding to the kernel of $\pi_1(S,\bar{s})\to\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_D}(\check{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}'}(\rho)\otimes_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}}\mathcal{O}_D)$. Then $\pi_1(S,\bar{s})$ acts on $\check{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}'}(\rho)\otimes_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}}\mathcal{O}_D$ through its quotient $\mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{S}/S)$. As in the proof of [AH13, Proposition 3.4] there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{M}^{\widetilde{S}}_{\mathcal{G}'}(\rho) := \rho_*\mathcal{G}'\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{C_S}}\mathcal{O}_{D_{\widetilde{S}}}\cong(\check{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}'}(\rho)\otimes_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}}\mathcal{O}_D)\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q}\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{S}}$ which is compatible with the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{S}/S)$ and the action of Frobenius through $\rho_*\tau'$ on the left and $\mathrm{id}\otimes\sigma$ on the right. Therefore the $\pi_1(S,\bar{s})$-invariant coset $H_D\beta'_Q\subset\mathrm{Isom}^\otimes(\check{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}'},\omega^\circ_{\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}}})$ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{M}^{\widetilde{S}}_{\mathcal{G}'}(\rho)\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{M}^{\widetilde{S}}_{\mathfrak{G}\times_C C_S}(\rho)$ which descends to $S$. In this way $\beta'$ induces an isomorphism of tensor functors $\eta\colon\mathcal{M}^S_{\mathcal{G}'}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{M}^S_{\mathfrak{G}\times_C C_S}$.

We want to show that this isomorphism comes from a level structure $\psi$. Since $D$ is finite there is an étale covering $S' \to S$ and a trivialization $\alpha\colon (\mathfrak{G} \times_C D_{S'}, b \cdot \sigma^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathcal{G}}' \times_{C_S} D_{S'}$ for an element $b \in \mathfrak{G}(D_{S'})$. The composition of tensor isomorphisms $\eta_{S'} \circ \mathcal{M}_\alpha^{S'} \in \mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{M}^{S'}_{\mathfrak{G}\times_C C_S}) = \mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}(\omega_C^\circ)(\mathcal{O}_{D_{S'}}) = \mathfrak{G}(D_{S'})$ is given by an element $\psi' \in \mathfrak{G}(D_{S'})$ by [Wed04, Corollary 5.20]. The latter induces an isomorphism $\psi'\colon (\mathfrak{G} \times_C D_{S'}, b \cdot \sigma^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathfrak{G} \times_C D_{S'}, 1 \cdot \sigma^*)$. This isomorphism descends to the desired level structure $\psi\colon \underline{\mathcal{G}}' \times_{C_S} D_S \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathfrak{G} \times_C D_S, 1 \cdot \sigma^*)$.

Analyzing this construction further also shows that the functor (6.3) is fully faithful. This proves the theorem. □

**Proposition 6.5.** *Let $\widetilde{H}, H \subseteq \mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})$ be compact open subgroups and assume that $\widetilde{H}$ is a normal subgroup of $H$. Then the stack $\nabla_n^{\widetilde{H}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}$ is an $H/\widetilde{H}$-torsor over $\nabla_n^{H}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}$ under the projection map.*

*Proof.* One can easily check that there is an isomorphism of stacks

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\nabla_n^{\widetilde{H}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}} \times H/\widetilde{H} & \longleftrightarrow & \nabla_n^{\widetilde{H}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}} \mathbin{\widehat{\times}}_{\nabla_n^{H}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}} \nabla_n^{\widetilde{H}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}} \\
(\underline{\mathcal{G}}, \widetilde{H}\delta) \times g\widetilde{H} & \longmapsto & (\underline{\mathcal{G}}, \widetilde{H}\delta) \times (\underline{\mathcal{G}}, \widetilde{H}g\delta) \\
(\underline{\mathcal{G}}, \widetilde{H}\delta) \times \varepsilon\delta^{-1}\widetilde{H} & \longleftarrow\!\shortmid & (\underline{\mathcal{G}}, \widetilde{H}\delta) \times (\underline{\mathcal{G}}, \widetilde{H}\varepsilon)
\end{array}$$

□

**Remark 6.6.** For any compact open subgroup $H \subseteq \mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})$ and any element $g \in \mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})$ there is an isomorphism $\nabla_n^{H}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \nabla_n^{gHg^{-1}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}$, $(\underline{\mathcal{G}}, H\delta) \mapsto (\underline{\mathcal{G}}, (gHg^{-1})g\delta)$.

**Corollary 6.7.** *For any compact open subgroup $H \subseteq \mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})$ the stack $\nabla_n^{H}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}$ is an ind-algebraic stack, ind-separated and locally of ind-finite type over* $\mathrm{Spf}\, A_{\underline{\nu}}$. *The forgetful morphism $\nabla_n^{H}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}} \to \nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}$ which sends $(\underline{\mathcal{G}}, H\delta)$ to $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ is finite étale.*

*Proof.* By Remark 6.6 we may conjugate $H$ into $\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}^{\underline{\nu}})$ and find a normal subgroup of $H$ of the form $H_D$. By Theorem 6.4 and Proposition 6.5 the stack $\nabla_n^{H}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}$ is the quotient of $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}_D^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}$ by the finite group $H/H_D$. The corollary now follows from Theorem 3.14. □

# 7 The Uniformization Theorem

**Definition 7.1.** Fix an $n$-tuple $\underline{\nu} = (\nu_i)$ of places on the curve $C$ with $\nu_i \neq \nu_j$ for $i \neq j$. Let $\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}} := (\hat{Z}_i)_i$ be a tuple of closed ind-subschemes $\hat{Z}_i$ of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}\ell}_{\mathbb{P}_{\nu_i}}$ which are bounds in the sense of Definition 4.5. Let $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ be a global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtuka in $\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}(S)$. We say that $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$ *is bounded by* $\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}} := (\hat{Z}_i)_i$ if for every $i$ the associated local $\mathbb{P}_{\nu_i}$−shtuka $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu_i}(\underline{\mathcal{G}})$ is bounded by $\hat{Z}_i$. We denote by $\nabla_n^{H,\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}$ the substack of $\nabla_n^{H}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}$ consisting of global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtukas bounded by $\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}}$.

**Remark 7.2.** $\nabla_n^{H,\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}$ is a closed ind-stack of $\nabla_n^{H}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}$ by Proposition 4.6, and hence an ind-algebraic stack over $\mathrm{Spf}\, A_{\underline{\nu}}$ which is ind-separated and locally of ind-finite type by Corollary 6.7. In contrast to [AH13, Remark 4.6] we do not know whether $\nabla_n^{H,\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}$ is a formal algebraic $\mathrm{Spf}\, A_{\underline{\nu}}$-stack. The problem is the following. $\nabla_n^{H,\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}$ is the limit of an inductive system of algebraic stacks. One has to find a compatible inductive system of presentations for these algebraic stacks such that this inductive system forms an ind-scheme. Then one could argue as in [AH13, Remark 4.6] that this ind-scheme is in fact a formal scheme and consequently $\nabla_n^{H,\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}$ is a formal algebraic stack in the sense of [Har05, Appendix A].

We keep the notation of the definition and consider a compact open subgroup $H \subset \mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})$. Let $(\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0, \bar{\gamma}_0)$ be a global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtuka over an algebraically closed field $k$ with characteristic $\underline{\nu}$, bounded by $\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}}$, with $H$-level structure $\bar{\gamma}_0 = H\gamma_0 \in H\backslash \operatorname{Isom}^{\otimes}(\check{\mathcal{V}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0}, \omega^\circ)$. It defines a point in $\nabla_n^{H,\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}(k)$. We fix a representative $\gamma_0 \in \operatorname{Isom}^{\otimes}(\check{\mathcal{V}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0}, \omega^\circ)$ of $\bar{\gamma}_0$. We let $I(Q)$ denote the group $\operatorname{QIsog}_k(\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0)$ of quasi-isogenies of $\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0$; see Definition 3.5. Let $(\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i)_{i=1\ldots n} := \widehat{\underline{\Gamma}}(\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0)$ denote the associated tuple of local $\mathbb{P}_{\nu_i}$-shtukas over $k$, where $\widehat{\underline{\Gamma}}$ is the global-local functor from Definition 5.1. Let $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i}^{\hat{Z}_i}$ denote the Rapoport-Zink space of $\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i$. Since $k$ is algebraically closed we may assume that all $\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i$ are trivialized and decent by [AH13, Remark 4.10]. By Theorem 4.7 the product $\prod_i \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i}^{\hat{Z}_i} := \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_1}^{\hat{Z}_1} \widehat{\times}_k \ldots \widehat{\times}_k \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_n}^{\hat{Z}_n}$ is a formal scheme locally formally of finite type over $\operatorname{Spec} k \widehat{\times}_{\mathbb{F}_{\underline{\nu}}} \operatorname{Spf} A_{\underline{\nu}} = \operatorname{Spf} k[\![\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n]\!] =: \operatorname{Spf} k[\![\underline{\zeta}]\!]$. Recall that the group $J_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i}(Q_{\nu_i}) = \operatorname{QIsog}_k(\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i)$ of quasi-isogenies of $\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i$ over $k$ acts naturally on $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i}^{\hat{Z}_i}$; see Remark 4.8. Especially we see that the group $I(Q)$ acts on $\prod_i \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i}^{\hat{Z}_i}$ via the natural morphism

$$I(Q) \longrightarrow \prod_i J_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i}(Q_{\nu_i}), \;\; \alpha \mapsto \big(\widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu_i}(\alpha)\big)_i =: (\alpha_i)_i. \tag{7.1}$$

Let $\Gamma \subseteq \prod_i J_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i}(Q_{\nu_i})$ be a subgroup which is discrete for the product of the $\nu_i$-adic topologies. We say that $\Gamma$ is *separated* if it is separated in the profinite topology, that is, if for every $1 \neq g \in \Gamma$ there is a normal subgroup of finite index that does not contain $g$.

**Proposition 7.3.** *Let $\bar{S}$ be a connected non-empty scheme in $\mathcal{N}ilp_{A_{\underline{\nu}}}$ whose structure morphism factors through a morphism $\beta\colon \bar{S} \to \operatorname{Spec} k$. Then the natural group homomorphism $\operatorname{QIsog}_k(\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0) \to \operatorname{QIsog}_{\bar{S}}(\underline{\mathcal{G}}_{0,\bar{S}})$, $g \mapsto g_{\bar{S}}$ is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Since $\bar{S}$ is non-empty the group homomorphism is injective. To prove surjectivity we consider a quasi-isogeny $f \in \operatorname{QIsog}_{\bar{S}}(\underline{\mathcal{G}}_{0,\bar{S}})$. Since the question is local on $\bar{S}$ we may assume that $\bar{S} = \operatorname{Spec} R$ is affine. Let $D \subset C$ be a divisor such that $f$ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{G}|_{C_S \smallsetminus D_S}$. We set $C' := C \smallsetminus (D \cup \underline{\nu})$ and choose a finite flat ring homomorphism $\pi^*\colon \mathbb{F}_q[t] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(C')$ of some degree $d$. We also choose a representation $\rho\colon \mathfrak{G} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{GL}(\mathcal{V})$ for a locally free sheaf $\mathcal{V}$ on $C$ of rank $r$ as in Proposition 2.2(a). The global $\operatorname{GL}_r$-shtuka $\rho_*\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0$ corresponds to a locally free sheaf $M$ of rank $r$ on $C'_k$ together with an isomorphism $\tau\colon \sigma^*M \xrightarrow{\sim} M$, because $C' \cap \underline{\nu} = \emptyset$. The quasi-isogeny $\rho_* f$ corresponds to an automorphism $f\colon M_R \xrightarrow{\sim} M_R$ with $f\tau = \tau\sigma^*(f)$. Via $\pi^*$ we view $M$ as a (locally) free $k[t]$-module. We choose a basis and write $\tau$ and $f$ as matrices $T \in \operatorname{GL}_{rd}(k[t])$ and $F \in \operatorname{GL}_{rd}(R[t])$ satisfying $FT = T\sigma^*(F)$. We expand $T = \sum_i T_i t^i$ and $T^{-1} = \sum_i T'_i t^i$ and $F = \sum_i F_i t^i$ in powers of $t$. Consider the equations $F_m = \sum_{i+j+\ell=m} T_i \sigma^*(F_j) T'_\ell$ and $\sigma^*(F_m) = \sum_{i+j+\ell=m} T'_i F_j T_\ell$, where the matrix $\sigma^*(F_m)$ is obtained from $F_m$ by raising all entries to the $q$-th power. This shows that the entries of the matrices $F_m$ satisfy finite étale equations over $k$. As $k$ is algebraically closed and $\operatorname{Spec} R$ is connected we must have $F \in \operatorname{GL}_{rd}(k[t]) \subset \operatorname{GL}_{rd}(R[t])$. This implies that $f = g_{\bar{S}}$ for a quasi-isogeny $g \in \operatorname{QIsog}_k(\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0)$. □

**Theorem 7.4.** *Keep the above notation, we have the following statements*

*(a) the morphism $\Psi_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0}$ from Theorem 5.3 along with the representative $\gamma_0 \in \operatorname{Isom}^{\otimes}(\check{\mathcal{V}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0}, \omega^\circ)$ induces an $I(Q)$-invariant morphism*

$$\Theta'\colon \prod_i \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i}^{\hat{Z}_i} \times \mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})/H \longrightarrow \nabla_n^{H,\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}} \widehat{\times}_{\mathbb{F}_{\underline{\nu}}} \operatorname{Spec} k.$$

*Furthermore, this morphism factors through a morphism*

$$\Theta\colon I(Q)\backslash\prod_i \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i}^{\hat{Z}_i}\times\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})/H \longrightarrow \nabla_n^{H,\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}\,\widehat{\times}_{\mathbb{F}_{\underline{\nu}}}\operatorname{Spec}k$$

*of ind-algebraic stacks over* $\operatorname{Spf}k[\![\underline{\zeta}]\!]$ *which is ind-proper and formally étale. Both morphisms are compatible with the action of* $\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})$ *which acts through Hecke-correspondences on source and target.*

*(b) Let* $\{T_j\}$ *be a set of representatives of* $I(Q)$*-orbits of the irreducible components of* $\prod_i \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i}^{\hat{Z}_i}\times\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})/H$*. Then the image* $\Theta'(T_j)$ *of* $T_j$ *under* $\Theta'$ *is closed and each* $\Theta'(T_j)$ *intersects only finitely many others. Let* $\mathcal{Z}$ *denote the union of the* $\Theta'(T_j)$ *and let* $\nabla_n^{H,\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}}},\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}_{/\mathcal{Z}}$ *be the formal completion of* $\nabla_n^{H,\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}}},\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}\,\widehat{\times}_{\mathbb{F}_{\underline{\nu}}}\operatorname{Spec}k$ *along* $\mathcal{Z}$*. Then* $\Theta$ *induces an isomorphism of formal algebraic stacks over* $\operatorname{Spf}k[\![\underline{\zeta}]\!]$

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{Z}}\colon I(Q)\backslash\prod_i \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i}^{\hat{Z}_i}\times\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})/H \xrightarrow{\ \sim\ } \nabla_n^{H,\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}_{/\mathcal{Z}}.$$

**Remark 7.5.** (a) Notice that the $T_j$ correspond bijectively to the irreducible components of $I(Q)\backslash\prod_i \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i}^{\hat{Z}_i}\times\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})/H$. The $\Theta'(T_j)$ are closed substacks because $T_j$ is quasi-compact by [AH13, Corollary 4.22] and $\Theta'$ is ind-proper by part (a) of the theorem. Since the quotient $I(Q)\backslash\prod_i \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i}^{\hat{Z}_i}\times\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})/H$ is a formal algebraic stack locally formally of finite type over $\operatorname{Spf}k[\![\underline{\zeta}]\!]$ by [AH13, Proposition 4.23], each $\Theta'(T_j)$ intersects only finitely many others. Reasoning as in [RZ96, 6.22] we may form the formal completion along their union $\mathcal{Z}$. It is defined by requiring that its category $\nabla_n^{H,\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}_{/\mathcal{Z}}(S)$ of $S$-valued points equals

$$\bigl\{\,f\colon S\to\nabla_n^{H,\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}\,\widehat{\times}_{\mathbb{F}_{\underline{\nu}}}\operatorname{Spec}k,\ \text{ such that } f|_{S_{\mathrm{red}}} \text{ factors through } \mathcal{Z}\,\bigr\}.$$

A priory this is only an ind-algebraic stack over $\operatorname{Spf}k[\![\underline{\zeta}]\!]$, but it will follow from Theorem 7.4(b) that it is a formal algebraic stack.

(b) The action of $g\in\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})$ by Hecke correspondences is explicitly given as follows. Let $H,H'\subset\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})$ be compact open subgroups. Then the Hecke correspondences $\pi(g)_{H,H'}$ are given by the diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \prod_i \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i}^{\hat{Z}_i}\times\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})/(H'\cap g^{-1}Hg) \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr] & \\
\prod_i \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i}^{\hat{Z}_i}\times\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})/H' & & \prod_i \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i}^{\hat{Z}_i}\times\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})/H \arrow[ll, dashed]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{7.2}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& (\underline{\mathcal{L}}_i,\delta_i)_i\times h(H'\cap g^{-1}Hg) \arrow[dl, mapsto] \arrow[dr, mapsto] & \\
(\underline{\mathcal{L}}_i,\delta_i)_i\times hH' & & (\underline{\mathcal{L}}_i,\delta_i)_i\times hg^{-1}H
\end{tikzcd}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}[column sep=small]
& \nabla_n^{(H'\cap g^{-1}Hg),\hat Z_{\underline\nu}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline\nu} \arrow[dl] \arrow[dr] & \\
\nabla_n^{H',\hat Z_{\underline\nu}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline\nu} & & \nabla_n^{H,\hat Z_{\underline\nu}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline\nu} \arrow[ll, dashed]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{7.3}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}[column sep=small]
& (\underline{\mathcal G},(H'\cap g^{-1}Hg)\gamma) \arrow[dl, mapsto] \arrow[dr, mapsto] & \\
(\underline{\mathcal G},H'\gamma) & & (\underline{\mathcal G},Hg\gamma)
\end{tikzcd}$$

*Proof of Theorem 7.4.* Consider the following 2-cartesian diagram of ind-algebraic stacks in which the map $\Psi_{\underline{\mathcal G}_0}$ in the bottom row was introduced in Theorem 5.3

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\prod_i \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbb L}_i}^{\hat Z_i} \arrow[r, "\Psi_{\underline{\mathcal G}_0}"] \arrow[d] & \nabla_n^{\hat Z_{\underline\nu}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline\nu}\,\widehat\times_{\mathbb F_{\underline\nu}}\operatorname{Spec}k \arrow[d] \\
\prod_i \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbb L}_i} \arrow[r, "\Psi_{\underline{\mathcal G}_0}"] & \nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline\nu}\,\widehat\times_{\mathbb F_{\underline\nu}}\operatorname{Spec}k\,.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{7.4}$$

In particular the morphism in the upper row, which we again call $\Psi_{\underline{\mathcal G}_0}$, is ind-proper and formally étale. Consider an $S$ valued point $(\underline{\mathcal L}_i,\delta_i)_i$ of $\prod_i \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbb L}_i}^{\hat Z_i}$ and let $\underline{\mathcal G}:=\delta_1^*\circ\ldots\circ\delta_n^*\underline{\mathcal G}_{0,S}$ denote its image under $\Psi_{\underline{\mathcal G}_0}$. By Remark 5.4 there is a unique quasi-isogeny $\delta\colon\underline{\mathcal G}\to\underline{\mathcal G}_{0,S}$ which is an isomorphism outside the $\nu_i$ and satisfies $\widehat{\underline\Gamma}(\delta)=(\delta_i)_i$. This induces a functor $\check{\mathcal T}_\delta\colon\check{\mathcal T}_{\underline{\mathcal G}}\to\check{\mathcal T}_{\underline{\mathcal G}_0}$, see (6.1). Recall that we fixed a representative $\gamma_0\in\operatorname{Isom}^{\otimes}(\check{\mathcal V}_{\underline{\mathcal G}_0},\omega^\circ)$ of the $H$-level structure $\bar\gamma_0=\gamma_0H$ on $\underline{\mathcal G}_0$. Now sending $(\underline{\mathcal L}_i,\delta_i)_i\times hH$ to $(\underline{\mathcal G},Hh^{-1}\gamma_0\check{\mathcal T}_\delta)$ defines the morphism

$$\Theta'\colon\prod_i\mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbb L}_i}^{\hat Z_i}\times\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb A_Q^{\underline\nu})/H\longrightarrow\nabla_n^{H,\hat Z_{\underline\nu}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline\nu}\,\widehat\times_{\mathbb F_{\underline\nu}}\operatorname{Spec}k.$$

It sends the $k$-valued point $(\underline{\mathbb L}_i,\mathrm{id})_i\times hH$ to $(\underline{\mathcal G}_0,Hh^{-1}\gamma_0)$ and is obviously equivariant for the action of $\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb A_Q^{\underline\nu})$ through Hecke correspondences given in (7.2) and (7.3).

The group $I(Q)$ of quasi-isogenies of $\underline{\mathcal G}_0$ acts on the set $\operatorname{Isom}^{\otimes}(\check{\mathcal V}_{\underline{\mathcal G}_0},\omega^\circ)$. This induces a morphism

$$\varepsilon\colon I(Q)\longrightarrow Aut^{\otimes}(\omega^\circ)\cong\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb A_Q^{\underline\nu}),\quad \eta\mapsto\gamma_0\circ\check{\mathcal V}_\eta\circ\gamma_0^{-1}.$$

We claim that $\varepsilon$ is injective. Indeed, if $\eta$ lies in the kernel of $\varepsilon$ we consider a faithful representation $\rho\colon\mathfrak{G}\hookrightarrow\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal V)$ as in Proposition 2.2(a). Then $\rho_*\eta$ induces a quasi-isogeny of the vector bundle associated with $\rho_*\underline{\mathcal G}_0$, whose restriction to $C_k\smallsetminus\underline\nu$ is the identity because of [AH13, Proposition 3.4]. Therefore $\eta$ must be the identity. This proves that $\varepsilon$ is injective. Thus we get the following injective morphism

$$(\widehat\Gamma_{\nu_i},\varepsilon)\colon I(Q)\longrightarrow\prod_i J_{\underline{\mathbb L}_i}(Q_{\nu_i})\times\mathfrak{G}(\mathbb A_Q^{\underline\nu})$$

and we identify $I(Q)$ with its image. The group $I(Q)$ acts on the source of the morphism $\Theta'$ by sending an $S$-valued point $(\underline{\mathcal L}_i,\delta_i)_i\times hH$ to $(\underline{\mathcal L}_i,\widehat\Gamma_{\nu_i}(\eta)\delta_i)_i\times\varepsilon(\eta)hH$ for $\eta\in I(Q)$. Note that these two $S$-valued points are mapped under $\Theta'$ to global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtukas with $H$-level structure over $S$ which are isomorphic via a quasi-isogeny induced from $\eta$. In other words, $\Theta'$ is invariant under the action of $I(Q)$.

We claim that $I(Q)$ is a discrete subgroup of $\prod_i J_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i}(Q_{\nu_i}) \times \mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})$. To show this we take the open subgroup $U := \prod_i \operatorname{Aut}_k(\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i) \times \gamma_0 \operatorname{Aut}^{\otimes}(\check{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0})\gamma_0^{-1} \subset \prod_i J_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i}(Q_{\nu_i}) \times \mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})$. The elements of $I(Q)\cap U$ give automorphisms of the global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtuka $\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0 := (\mathcal{G}, \tau_0)$. Then the finiteness of $I(Q)\cap U$ follows from Corollary 3.15. Therefore

$$I(Q)\backslash \prod_i \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i}^{\hat{Z}_i} \times \mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})/H \;\cong\; \coprod_{\Gamma} \left( \Gamma\backslash \prod_i \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i}^{\hat{Z}_i} \right),$$

where $\Gamma$ runs through a countable set of discrete subgroups of $\prod_i J_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i}(Q_{\nu_i})$ of the form

$$\Gamma \;=\; (\prod_i J_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i}(Q_{\nu_i}) \times hHh^{-1}) \cap I(Q) \;\subseteq\; \prod_i J_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i}(Q_{\nu_i}).$$

These groups $\Gamma$ are separated, because for every element $1 \neq \eta \in \Gamma$ there is a normal subgroup $\widetilde{H} \subset H$ of finite index such that $h\widetilde{H}h^{-1}$ does not contain the element $\varepsilon(\eta) \neq 1$. Therefore $I(Q)\backslash \prod_i \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i}^{\hat{Z}_i} \times \mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})/H$ is a formal algebraic stack by [AH13, Proposition 4.23], and the morphism $\Theta'$ factors through the following morphism of ind-algebraic stacks

$$\Theta\colon I(Q)\backslash \prod_i \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i}^{\hat{Z}_i} \times \mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})/H \;\longrightarrow\; \nabla_n^{H,\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}} \,\widehat{\times}_{\mathbb{F}_{\underline{\nu}}} \operatorname{Spec} k.$$

This morphism is ind-proper and formally étale, because the morphism $\Psi_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0}$ in (7.4) is, and the morphism which forgets the $H$-level structure is finite étale by Corollary 6.7.

(b) The morphism $\Theta_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is locally of ind-finite presentation because $\prod_i \mathcal{M}_{\underline{\mathbb{L}}_i}^{\hat{Z}_i} \times \mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}})/H$, as a formal scheme locally formally of finite type, is locally of ind-finite presentation over $\operatorname{Spf} k[\![\underline{\zeta}]\!]$. Since $\nabla_n^{H,\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}_{/\mathcal{Z}} \to \nabla_n^{H,\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}} \,\widehat{\times}_{\mathbb{F}_{\underline{\nu}}} \operatorname{Spec} k$ is a formally étale monomorphism of ind-algebraic stacks, and $\Theta$ is formally étale and ind-proper, it follows that also $\Theta_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is formally étale and ind-proper.

We fix a representation $\rho\colon \mathfrak{G} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SL}(\mathcal{V})$ as in Remark 3.6 and consider the closed substacks $\mathcal{X}^{\underline{\omega}} := \nabla_n^{H,\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}_{/\mathcal{Z}} \times_{\nabla_n\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})} \nabla_n^{\underline{\omega}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})$; see Definition 3.12. Since by Theorem 3.14 the $\nabla_n^{\underline{\omega}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})$ are Deligne-Mumford stacks locally of finite type over $C^n$, the $\mathcal{X}^{\underline{\omega}}$ are locally noetherian formal algebraic stacks over $\operatorname{Spf} A_{\underline{\nu}}$ by [Har05, Proposition A.14]. We may write

$$\mathcal{X} \;:=\; \nabla_n^{H,\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}_{/\mathcal{Z}} \;=\; \varinjlim \mathcal{X}^{\underline{\omega}}.$$

Let $\mathcal{Y}$ denote the source of the uniformization morphism and set $\mathcal{Y}^{\underline{\omega}} := \mathcal{Y} \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}^{\underline{\omega}}$. It is a closed formal algebraic substack of $\mathcal{Y}$. Since $\mathcal{X}^{\underline{\omega}}$ and $\mathcal{Y}^{\underline{\omega}}$ are locally noetherian adic formal algebraic stacks they have maximal ideals of definition $\mathcal{I}_{\underline{\omega}}$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\underline{\omega}}$. For positive integers $m$ consider the algebraic substacks $\mathcal{X}_m^{\underline{\omega}} := \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{I}_{\underline{\omega}}^m)$ and $\mathcal{Y}_m^{\underline{\omega}} := \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{J}_{\underline{\omega}}^m) \subset \mathcal{Y}^{\underline{\omega}}$.

Now part (b) will follow from Lemmas 7.6 and 7.7 below. More precisely, by Lemma 7.7 we have $\Theta_{\mathcal{Z}}^*(\mathcal{I}_{\underline{\omega}}) = \mathcal{J}_{\underline{\omega}}$. Then $\mathcal{Y}_m^{\underline{\omega}} \to \mathcal{X}_m^{\underline{\omega}}$ is obtained from $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ by base change, and hence is a formally étale 1-monomorphism (by Lemma 7.6) of algebraic stacks, proper and of finite presentation. In particular, it is relatively representable by an étale proper morphism of schemes; see [LM00, Théorème A.2 and Corollaire 8.1.3]. In addition the monomorphism $\Theta_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is surjective by definition, hence $\mathcal{Y}_m^{\underline{\omega}} \to \mathcal{X}_m^{\underline{\omega}}$ is an isomorphism. As this holds for all $m$ and all $\underline{\omega}$ we conclude that $\Theta_{\mathcal{Z}} : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ is an isomorphism of stacks. $\square$

**Lemma 7.6.** *The 1-morphism* $\Theta_{\mathcal{Z}}\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{X}$ *is a 1-monomorphism of ind-algebraic stacks, in other words, for every* $S \in \mathcal{N}ilp_{k[\![\zeta]\!]}$ *the functor* $\Theta_{\mathcal{Z}}(S)\colon \mathcal{Y}(S) \to \mathcal{X}(S)$ *is fully faithful.*

*Proof.* Consider two $S$-valued points of $\mathcal{Y}$

$$\underline{x} := ((\underline{\mathcal{L}}_i, \delta_i)_i, hH) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \underline{y} := \big((\underline{\mathcal{L}}'_i, \delta'_i)_i, h'H\big)$$

which under $\Theta_{\mathcal{Z}}$ are mapped to global $\mathfrak{G}$-shtukas $(\underline{\mathcal{G}}, \gamma)$ and $(\underline{\mathcal{G}}', \gamma')$ with $H$-level structures $\gamma$, respectively $\gamma'$. Assume that $(\underline{\mathcal{G}}, \gamma)$ and $(\underline{\mathcal{G}}', \gamma')$ are isomorphic in $\nabla_n^{H,\hat{Z}_{\underline{\nu}}}\mathscr{H}^1(C,\mathfrak{G})^{\underline{\nu}}(S)$ via a quasi-isogeny $\varphi\colon \underline{\mathcal{G}} \to \underline{\mathcal{G}}'$ which is an isomorphism at the $\nu_i$ and compatible with the $H$-level structures; see Definition 6.3. By the construction of the morphism $\Theta_{\mathcal{Z}}$, there are canonical quasi-isogenies $\delta\colon \underline{\mathcal{G}} \to \underline{\mathcal{G}}_{0,S}$ and $\delta'\colon \underline{\mathcal{G}}' \to \underline{\mathcal{G}}_{0,S}$ with $\underline{\widehat{\Gamma}}(\delta) = (\delta_i)_i$ and $\underline{\widehat{\Gamma}}(\delta') = (\delta'_i)_i$. Consider the quasi-isogeny $\eta := \delta'\varphi\delta^{-1}$ from $\underline{\mathcal{G}}_{0,S}$ to itself. By Proposition 7.3 we may view $\eta$ as an element of $I(Q)$.

Between the associated local $\mathbb{P}_{\nu_i}$-shtukas we consider the corresponding quasi-isogenies

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\underline{\mathcal{L}}_i \arrow[r, "\widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu_i}(\varphi)"] \arrow[d, "\delta_i"'] & \underline{\mathcal{L}}'_i \arrow[d, "\delta'_i"] \\
\underline{\mathbb{L}}_{i,S} \arrow[r, "\widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu_i}(\eta)"'] & \underline{\mathbb{L}}_{i,S}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $\varphi\colon \underline{\mathcal{G}} \to \underline{\mathcal{G}}'$ is an isomorphism at the $\nu_i$ the quasi-isogenies $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu_i}(\varphi)$ are isomorphisms. This shows that $\widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu_i}(\eta) \cdot (\underline{\mathcal{L}}_i, \delta_i) = (\underline{\mathcal{L}}_i, \widehat{\Gamma}_{\nu_i}(\eta) \circ \delta_i) \cong (\underline{\mathcal{L}}'_i, \delta'_i)$.

Via the Tannakian duality $\eta$ operates on the $H$-level structures as the element $\varepsilon(\eta) \in \mathfrak{G}(\mathbb{A}_Q^{\underline{\nu}}) = \mathrm{Aut}^{\otimes}(\omega^\circ)$, this fits in the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\check{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}} \arrow[r, "\check{\mathcal{T}}_{\delta}"] \arrow[d, "\check{\mathcal{T}}_{\varphi}"] & \check{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0} \arrow[r, "\gamma_0"] \arrow[d, "\check{\mathcal{T}}_{\eta}"] & \omega^\circ \arrow[r, "h^{-1}"] \arrow[d, "\varepsilon(\eta)"'] & \omega^\circ \arrow[d, equal] \\
\check{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}'} \arrow[r, "\check{\mathcal{T}}_{\delta'}"] & \check{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\mathcal{G}}_0} \arrow[r, "\gamma_0"] & \omega^\circ \arrow[r, "h'^{-1}"] & \omega^\circ
\end{tikzcd}.$$

and hence $\varepsilon(\eta) \cdot hH = h'H$. This proves that $\eta \cdot \underline{x} = \underline{y}$ and thus $\Theta_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a monomorphism. □

**Lemma 7.7.** *For every* $\underline{\omega}$ *we have* $\Theta^*_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{I}_{\underline{\omega}}) = \mathcal{J}_{\underline{\omega}}$. *In particular the 1-morphism* $\Theta_{\mathcal{Z}}\colon \mathcal{Y}^{\underline{\omega}} \to \mathcal{X}^{\underline{\omega}}$ *of formal algebraic stacks is adic.*

*Proof.* We have $\mathcal{Y}^{\underline{\omega}}_{\mathrm{red}} = \mathcal{Y}^{\underline{\omega}}_1$. Let $p\colon X^{\underline{\omega}} \to \mathcal{X}^{\underline{\omega}}_{\mathrm{red}} = \mathrm{V}(\mathcal{I}_{\underline{\omega}})$ be a presentation. By Theorem 5.3 and Lemma 7.6 we see that $\Theta_{\mathcal{Z},m}\colon \mathcal{Y}^{\underline{\omega}}_m \times_{\mathcal{X}^{\underline{\omega}}} X^{\underline{\omega}} \to X^{\underline{\omega}}$ is a proper monomorphism of algebraic stacks, hence a closed immersion of schemes. Since $\Theta_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is surjective and $X^{\underline{\omega}}$ is reduced, $\Theta_{\mathcal{Z},m}$ must be an isomorphism for all $m$. Therefore $\mathcal{Y}^{\underline{\omega}}_m \times_{\mathcal{X}^{\underline{\omega}}} X^{\underline{\omega}} = X^{\underline{\omega}} = \mathcal{Y}^{\underline{\omega}}_{\mathrm{red}} \times_{\mathcal{X}^{\underline{\omega}}} X^{\underline{\omega}}$ and $\mathcal{Y}^{\underline{\omega}} \times_{\mathcal{X}^{\underline{\omega}}} X^{\underline{\omega}} = \varinjlim \mathcal{Y}^{\underline{\omega}}_m \times_{\mathcal{X}^{\underline{\omega}}} X^{\underline{\omega}} = \mathcal{Y}^{\underline{\omega}}_{\mathrm{red}} \times_{\mathcal{X}^{\underline{\omega}}} X^{\underline{\omega}}$. This shows that $\mathcal{Y}^{\underline{\omega}} \times_{\mathcal{X}^{\underline{\omega}}} \mathcal{X}^{\underline{\omega}}_{\mathrm{red}} = \mathcal{Y}^{\underline{\omega}}_{\mathrm{red}} \times_{\mathcal{X}^{\underline{\omega}}} \mathcal{X}^{\underline{\omega}}_{\mathrm{red}}$. Therefore $\mathcal{J}_{\underline{\omega}}$ equals the pullback under $\Theta_{\mathcal{Z}}$ of $\mathcal{I}_{\underline{\omega}}$, and hence $\Theta_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is adic. □

# References

[AK80] A. Altman, S. Kleiman: Compactifying the Picard scheme, *Adv. in Math.* **35** (1980), no. 1, 50–112.

[AH13] M.E. Arasteh Rad, U. Hartl: *The Relation between Global* $\mathfrak{G}$*-Shtukas and Local* $\mathbb{P}$*-shtukas*, preprint on arXiv:1302.6143.

[Beh91] K. Behrend: *The lefschetz trace formula for the moduli stack of principal bundles*, PhD thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 1991; available at http://www.math.ubc.ca/∼behrend/.

[BD] A. Beilinson, V. Drinfeld: *Quantization of Hitchin's integrable system and Hecke eigensheaves*, preprint on http://www.math.uchicago.edu/∼mitya/langlands.html.

[BLR90] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, M. Raynaud: *Néron models*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) **21**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.

[Bou70] N. Bourbaki: *Algèbre, Chapitres 1 à 3*, Hermann, Paris 1970.

[BZ95] J.-F. Boutot, T. Zink: *The p-adic uniformization of Shimura curves*, preprint 95–107, Univ. Bielefeld (1995); also available at http://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/∼zink/.

[BT72] F. Bruhat, J. Tits: *Groupes réductifs sur un corps local*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **41** (1972), 5–251.

[Čer76] V. Čerednik: Uniformization of algebraic curves by discrete arithmetic subgroups of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(k_w)$ with compact quotients, *Math. USSR. Sb.* **29** (1976), 55–78.

[Dri76] V. G. Drinfeld: *Coverings of p-adic symmetric domains*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **10** (1976), 107–115.

[Dri87] V. G. Drinfeld, *Moduli varieties of F-sheaves*, Func. Anal. and Appl. **21** (1987), 107–122.

[DS95] V. Drinfeld, C. Simpson: *B-structures on G-bundles and local triviality*, Math. Res. Lett. **2** (1995), no. 6, 823–829.

[Eis95] D. Eisenbud: *Commutative Algebra with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry*, GTM **150**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin etc. 1995.

[EGA] A. Grothendieck: *Élements de Géométrie Algébrique*, Publ. Math. IHES **4**, **8**, **11**, **17**, **20**, **24**, **28**, **32**, Bures-Sur-Yvette, 1960–1967; see also Grundlehren **166**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin etc. 1971.

[FGA] A. Grothendieck: *Fondements de la Géométrie Algébrique*, Extraits du Séminaire Bourbaki 1957–1962, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris 1962.

[Hab78] W.J. Haboush: *Homogeneous vector bundles and reductive subgroups of reductive algebraic groups*, Amer. J. Math. **100** (1978), no. 6, 1123–1137.

[HR03] T. Haines and M. Rapoport: *On parahoric subgroups*, appendix to [PR08]; also available as arXiv:0804.3788.

[Har05] U. Hartl: *Uniformizing the Stacks of Abelian Sheaves*, in Number Fields and Function fields - Two Parallel Worlds, Papers from the 4th Conference held on Texel Island, April 2004, Progress in Math. **239**, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel 2005, pp. 167–222; also available as arXiv:math.NT/0409341.

[Har11] U. Hartl: *Period Spaces for Hodge Structures in Equal Characteristic*, Annals of Math. **173**, n. 3 (2011), 1241–1358; also available as arXiv:math.NT/0511686.

[HH13] U. Hartl, E. Hellmann: *The universal familly of semi-stable p-adic Galois representations*, in preparation.

[HV11] U. Hartl, E. Viehmann: *The Newton stratification on deformations of local G-shtukas*, J. reine angew. Math. (Crelle) **656** (2011), 87–129; also available as arXiv:0810.0821.

[HV12] U. Hartl, E. Viehmann: *Foliations in deformation spaces of local G-shtukas*, Advances in Mathematics **229** (2012), 54–78; also available as arXiv:1002.2387.

[Hau05] T. Hausberger: *Uniformisations des Variétés de Laumon-Rapoport-Stuhler et conjecture de Drinfeld-Carayol*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **55** (2005), no. 4, 1285–1371.

[Hei10] J. Heinloth: *Uniformization of $\mathcal{G}$-bundles*, Math. Ann. **347** (2010), 499–528; also available as arXiv:0711.4450.

[KR13] S. Kudla, M. Rapoport: *New cases of p-adic uniformization*, preprint on arXiv:1302.3521.

[Laf02] L. Lafforgue, *Chtoucas de Drinfeld et correspondance de Langlands*, Invent. Math. 147 (2002), 1–241; also available at http://www.ihes.fr/∼lafforgue/math/.

[Lan56] S. Lang: *Algebraic groups over finite fields*, Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956), 555–563.

[LM00] G. Laumon, L. Moret-Bailly: *Champs algebriques*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgebiete 39, Springer, Berlin, 2000.

[LRS93] G. Laumon, M. Rapoport, U. Stuhler: *$\mathscr{D}$-elliptic sheaves and the Langlands correspondence*, Invent. Math. **113** (1993), 217–338.

[NN08] B.C. Ngô, T. Ngô Dac: *Comptage de G-chtoucas: La partie régulière elliptique*, J. Inst. of Math. Jussieu **7** (2008), n. 1, 181–203; also available at http://www.math.uchicago.edu/∼ngo/.

[PR08] G. Pappas, M. Rapoport: *Twisted loop groups and their affine flag varieties*, Advances in Math. **219** (2008), 118–198; also available as arXiv:math/0607130.

[RZ96] M. Rapoport, T. Zink: *Period Spaces for p-divisible Groups*, Ann. Math. Stud. **141**, Princeton University Press, Princeton 1996.

[Var98] Y. Varshavsky: *P-adic uniformization of unitary Shimura varieties*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **87** (1998), 57–119; also available as arXiv:math/9909143.

[Var04] Y. Varshavsky: *Moduli spaces of principal F-bundles*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **10** (2004), no. 1, 131–166; also available as arXiv:math/0205130.

[Wan11] J. Wang: *The moduli stack of G-bundles*, preprint on arXiv:1104.4828.

[Wed04] T. Wedhorn, *On Tannakian duality over valuation rings*, J. Algebra **282** (2004), no. 2, 575–609; also available at http://www2.math.uni-paderborn.de/people/torsten-wedhorn.

Esmail Mohammad Arasteh Rad
Universität Münster
Mathematisches Institut
Einsteinstr. 62
D – 48149 Münster
Germany

Urs Hartl
Universität Münster
Mathematisches Institut
Einsteinstr. 62
D – 48149 Münster
Germany
www.math.uni-muenster.de/u/urs.hartl/